



## MAXIMIZING DISTRIBUTIONS AND UNITHOLDER VALUE

**Q3 2007**

THIRD QUARTER INTERIM REPORT FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER, 30, 2007

### BUSINESS PLAN EXECUTION HIGHLIGHTS

#### Maximize Cash Flow

- PET averaged record production levels of 193.1 MMcfe/d (98% natural gas), a 25% increase over 154.6 MMcfe/d in Q3 2006, primarily as a result of the Birchway Acquisition which added production volumes of 43 MMcfe/d for Q3.
- Realized natural gas prices decreased 23% for the third quarter to \$5.66/Mcf from \$7.36/Mcf in 2006. PET's realized gas price exceeded the Alberta Gas Reference Price by 9%.
- Record production levels and hedging gains were offset by very weak natural gas prices, resulting in a 32% decrease in funds flow to \$41.2 million for Q3 2007 from \$60.8 million in the comparative 2006 period.
- Funds flow per Trust Unit measured \$0.38/Unit as compared to \$0.72/Unit in Q3 2006.
- Further price management is in place through March 2009. For the period from December 1, 2007 to March 31, 2009, the weighted average price on financial hedges and physical forward sales contracts for an average of 78,000 GJ/d is \$7.50 per GJ.

#### Accretive Acquisitions

- PET focused on integrating the natural gas assets acquired in June 2007 ("Birchway Assets") into PET's operational structure, and initiating exploitation of the extensive low-risk drilling opportunities identified as part of the acquisition.

#### Asset Optimization

- Exploration, development and land expenditures totaled \$12.1 million for the quarter. Activity was concentrated on drilling, completions and tie-ins in the Southern core area.
- PET drilled 24 wells (19.5 net) with a 100% success rate including 11 (11.0 net) on the Birchway Assets.
- Seismic acquisition and Crown and freehold land purchases further enhanced PET's prospect inventory.
- The Q4 2007 capital program is estimated at \$25 to \$30 million, including preparatory work for 2008 capital activity.
- A \$108 million capital program is planned for 2008, with \$48 million forecast for the upcoming winter and the remaining \$60 million focused in all season access areas where activity is more adjustable to potential changes in the business environment.

#### Balance Sheet

- Net bank debt at September 30, 2007 was \$382 million.
- PET finalized the disposition of its office building in downtown Calgary for gross proceeds of \$35.75 million. The proceeds will be applied to extinguish the \$5.5 million mortgage with the remainder to reduce bank debt. Closing is scheduled for December 1, 2007.
- The Dividend Reinvestment and Optional Cash Purchase Plan contributed \$8.0 million to PET's balance sheet in Q3.
- Forecast net bank debt to 2007 projected cash flow is 1.6 times.
- The Trust's lenders recently completed their semi-annual borrowing base redetermination under the credit facility and the review of the Trust's natural gas reserves is expected to result in reconfirmation of PET's borrowing base at \$400 million.

#### Maximize Distributions and Unitholder Value

- Distributions for Q3 2007 totaled \$0.30 per Trust Unit, comprised of \$0.10 per Trust Unit paid on August 15, September 17 and October 15
- For the first nine months of 2007 PET's payout ratio was 63%, with a Q3 payout ratio of 79% through the very weak summer gas price period.

### Canada's leading 100% natural gas royalty trust

PARAMOUNT ENERGY TRUST ("PET") commenced operations as a trust in February 2003 with shallow gas assets focused in northeast Alberta. PET has since doubled production volumes, added geographic diversity and become the dominant royalty trust in northeast Alberta. Driven by a highly defined business plan, PET has stayed focused on maximizing distributions and creating Unitholder value. The result - superior Unitholder returns.

## FINANCIAL AND OPERATING HIGHLIGHTS

(\$Cdn thousands except as noted)	Three Months Ended September 30			Nine Months Ended September 30		
	2007	2006	%change	2007	2006	%change
<b>Financial</b>						
Revenue, including realized gains (losses) on financial instruments <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>100,617</b>	104,725	(4)	<b>338,662</b>	316,680	7
Funds flow <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>41,212</b>	60,770	(32)	<b>179,478</b>	178,487	1
Per Trust Unit <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>0.38</b>	0.72	(47)	<b>1.90</b>	2.13	(11)
Net earnings (loss)	<b>5,246</b>	19,619	(73)	<b>(27,889)</b>	49,404	(156)
Per Trust Unit <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>0.05</b>	0.23	(78)	<b>(0.30)</b>	0.59	(151)
Distributions	<b>32,448</b>	50,583	(36)	<b>113,073</b>	170,821	(34)
Per Trust Unit <sup>(3)</sup>	<b>0.30</b>	0.60	(50)	<b>1.04</b>	2.04	(49)
Payout ratio (%) <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>78.7</b>	83.2	(5)	<b>63.0</b>	95.7	(34)
Total assets	<b>1,266,380</b>	891,089	42	<b>1,266,380</b>	891,089	42
Net bank and other debt outstanding <sup>(4)</sup>	<b>382,378</b>	234,014	63	<b>382,378</b>	234,014	63
Convertible debentures, at principal amount	<b>236,109</b>	157,265	50	<b>236,109</b>	157,265	50
Total net debt <sup>(4)</sup>	<b>618,487</b>	391,279	58	<b>618,487</b>	391,279	58
Unitholders' equity	<b>364,259</b>	311,195	17	<b>364,259</b>	311,195	17
<b>Capital expenditures</b>						
Exploration, development and land	<b>12,101</b>	23,388	(48)	<b>97,688</b>	114,155	(14)
Acquisitions, net of dispositions	<b>(789)</b>	(322)	(145)	<b>451,908</b>	77,224	485
Other	<b>275</b>	289	(5)	<b>865</b>	811	7
Net capital expenditures	<b>11,587</b>	23,355	(50)	<b>550,461</b>	192,190	186
<b>Trust Units outstanding (thousands)</b>						
End of period	<b>108,473</b>	84,507	28	<b>108,473</b>	84,507	28
Weighted average	<b>108,008</b>	84,198	28	<b>94,431</b>	83,648	13
Incentive and Bonus Rights outstanding	<b>4,203</b>	1,948	116	<b>4,203</b>	1,948	116
Trust Units outstanding at November 7, 2007	<b>108,779</b>			<b>108,779</b>		
<b>Operating</b>						
Production						
Total oil and natural gas (Bcfe) <sup>(5)</sup>	<b>17.8</b>	14.2	25	<b>44.6</b>	42.7	4
Daily average oil and natural gas (MMcfe/d) <sup>(5)</sup>	<b>193.1</b>	154.6	25	<b>163.5</b>	156.4	5
Gas over bitumen deemed production (MMcfe/d) <sup>(6)</sup>	<b>20.2</b>	20.5	(1)	<b>19.9</b>	21.1	(6)
Average daily (actual and deemed - MMcfe/d) <sup>(6)</sup>	<b>213.3</b>	175.1	22	<b>183.4</b>	177.5	3
Per Trust Unit (cubic feet equivalent/d/Unit) <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>1.97</b>	2.08	(5)	<b>1.69</b>	2.12	(20)
Average natural gas prices (\$/Mcf)						
Before financial hedging and physical forward sales <sup>(7)</sup>	<b>5.41</b>	6.04	(10)	<b>6.53</b>	6.62	(1)
Including financial hedging and physical forward sales <sup>(7)</sup>	<b>5.66</b>	7.36	(23)	<b>7.59</b>	7.42	2
<b>Land (thousands of net acres)</b>						
Undeveloped land holdings	<b>1,843</b>	1,145	61	<b>1,843</b>	1,145	61
<b>Drilling (gross/net)</b>						
Gas	<b>24/19.5</b>	16/11.7	50/67	<b>106/84.9</b>	129/98.7	(18)/(14)
Dry	<b>-/-</b>	-/-	0/0	<b>7/6.2</b>	4/1.9	75/226
Total	<b>24/19.5</b>	16/11.7	50/67	<b>113/91.1</b>	133/100.6	(15)/(9)
Success rate (% gross / % net)	<b>100/100</b>	100/100	-/-	<b>94/93</b>	97/98	(3)/(5)

(1) These are non-GAAP measures. Please refer to "Significant Accounting Policies and Non-GAAP Measures" included in Management's Discussion and Analysis.

(2) Based on weighted average Trust Units outstanding for the period.

(3) Based on Trust Units outstanding at each distribution date.

(4) Net debt includes net working capital (deficiency) before short-term financial instrument assets and liabilities. Total net debt includes convertible debentures measured at principal amount.

(5) Production amounts are based on the Trust's interest before royalties.

(6) The deemed production volume describes all gas shut-in or denied production pursuant to a decision report, corresponding order or general bulletin of the Alberta Energy and Utilities Board ("AEUB"), or through correspondence in relation to an AEUB ID 99-1 application. This deemed production volume is not actual gas sales but represents shut-in gas that is the basis of the gas over bitumen financial solution which is received monthly from the Alberta Crown as a reduction against other royalties payable.

(7) PET's commodity hedging strategy employs both financial forward contracts and physical natural gas delivery contracts at fixed prices or price collars. In calculating the Trust's natural gas price before financial and physical hedging, PET assumes all natural gas sales based on physical delivery fixed-price or price collar contracts during the period were instead sold at AECO daily index.

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The following is management's discussion and analysis ("MD&A") of PET's operating and financial results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 as well as information and estimates concerning the Trust's future outlook based on currently available information. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the Trust's consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 as well as the Trust's audited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes and MD&A for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005. Readers are referred to the advisories regarding forecasts, assumptions and other forward-looking information contained in the "Forward Looking Information" section of this MD&A. The date of this MD&A is November 6, 2007.

Mcf equivalent (Mcf) may be misleading, particularly if used in isolation. In accordance with National Instrument 51-101 ("NI 51-101"), an Mcfe conversion ratio for oil of 1 Bbl: 6 Mcf has been used, which is based on an energy equivalency conversion method primarily applicable at the burner tip and does not necessarily represent a value equivalency at the wellhead.

## SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND NON-GAAP MEASURES

### Successful efforts accounting

The Trust follows the successful efforts method of accounting for its petroleum and natural gas operations. This method differs from the full cost accounting method in that exploration expenditures, including exploratory dry hole costs, geological and geophysical costs, lease rentals on undeveloped properties as well as the cost of surrendered leases and abandoned wells are expensed rather than capitalized in the year incurred. However, to make reported funds flow in this MD&A comparable to industry practice the Trust reclassifies geological and geophysical costs as well as surrendered leases and abandonment costs from operating to investing activities.

### Funds flow

Management uses funds flow from operations before changes in non-cash working capital ("funds flow"), funds flow per Trust Unit and annualized funds flow to analyze operating performance and leverage. Funds flow as presented does not have any standardized meaning prescribed by Canadian Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") and therefore it may not be comparable to the calculation of similar measures for other entities. Funds flow as presented is not intended to represent operating funds flow or operating profits for the period nor should it be viewed as an alternative to funds flow provided by operating activities, net earnings or other measures of financial performance calculated in accordance with GAAP. Funds flow is reconciled to its closest GAAP measure, funds flow provided by operating activities, as follows:

Funds flow GAAP reconciliation (\$ thousands except per Trust Unit amounts)	Three months ended September 30		Nine months ended September 30	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Cash flow provided by operating activities	<b>51,707</b>	55,194	<b>184,655</b>	171,888
Exploration costs <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>2,560</b>	1,874	<b>8,972</b>	10,800
Expenditures on asset retirement obligations	<b>618</b>	170	<b>2,283</b>	2,623
Changes in non-cash operating working capital	<b>(13,673)</b>	3,532	<b>(16,432)</b>	(6,824)
Funds flow	<b>41,212</b>	60,770	<b>179,478</b>	178,487
Funds flow per Trust Unit <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>\$ 0.38</b>	\$ 0.72	<b>\$ 1.90</b>	\$ 2.13

(1) Certain exploration costs are added back to funds flow in order to be more comparable to other energy trusts that use the full cost method of accounting for oil and gas activities. Exploration costs that are added back to funds flow include seismic expenditures, dry hole costs and expired leases and are considered by PET to be more closely related to investing activities than operating activities.

(2) Based on weighted average Trust Units outstanding for the period.

Additional significant accounting policies and non-GAAP measures are discussed elsewhere in this MD&A.

## OPERATIONS

### Production

Natural gas production by core area (MMcfe/d)	Three months ended September 30		Nine months ended September 30	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
West Side	<b>43.4</b>	47.3	<b>42.8</b>	47.1
East Side	<b>28.3</b>	26.3	<b>27.0</b>	27.1
Athabasca	<b>63.4</b>	69.0	<b>64.7</b>	70.9
Southern	<b>58.0</b>	12.0	<b>29.0</b>	11.3
Total	<b>193.1</b>	154.6	<b>163.5</b>	156.4
Deemed Production	<b>20.2</b>	20.5	<b>19.9</b>	21.1
Total actual and Deemed Production	<b>213.3</b>	175.1	<b>183.4</b>	177.5

Average production measured 193.1 MMcfe/d for the three months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to 154.6 MMcfe/d in the third quarter of 2006. The significant increase in production in the Southern core area is due to the acquisition of the natural gas properties and related assets located primarily in east central Alberta (the "Birchway Acquisition") which closed on June 26, 2007, as

well as continuing development of the Trust's existing properties in east central Alberta. The assets acquired as a result of the Birchway Acquisition ("Birchway Assets") produced approximately 43 MMcfe/d for the current quarter. Including the deemed production volume related to the gas over bitumen financial solution, average aggregate daily production (actual and deemed) increased 22 percent to 213.3 MMcfe/d from 175.1 MMcfe/d in the third quarter of 2006.

Production for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 increased five percent to 163.5 MMcfe/d from 156.4 MMcfe/d in the comparative period for 2006 as a result of the partial effect of the Birchway Acquisition and a successful 2007 capital program, offset by natural declines in the Trust's base assets.

## Capital Expenditures

Capital expenditures (\$ thousands)	Three months ended September 30		Nine months ended September 30	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Exploration and development expenditures <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 9,540	\$ 18,735	\$ 90,927	\$ 103,265
Crown and freehold land purchases	2,561	4,653	6,761	10,890
Acquisitions	(518)	1,665	455,269	93,418
Dispositions	(271)	(1,987)	(3,361)	(16,194)
Other	275	289	865	811
<b>Total capital expenditures</b>	<b>\$ 11,587</b>	<b>\$ 23,355</b>	<b>\$ 550,461</b>	<b>\$ 192,190</b>

(1) Exploration and development expenditures for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 include approximately \$2.6 million and \$9.0 million respectively in exploration costs (three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 \$1.9 and \$10.8 million, respectively) which have been expensed directly on the Trust's statement of earnings in accordance with the successful efforts method of accounting. Exploration costs include seismic expenditures, dry hole costs and expired leases and are considered by PET to be more closely related to investing activities than operating activities. As a result they are included with capital expenditures in this table.

Exploration, development and land expenditures totaled \$12.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007, and were concentrated on drilling, completion and tie-in activities in the Southern core area, as well as seismic program acquisition for delineation and refinement of prospects in that area and Crown and freehold land purchases to replenish the prospect inventory. PET drilled 24 wells (19.5 net) with a 100% success rate in the quarter, primarily in east central Alberta, including 11 (11.0 net) on the Birchway Assets acquired at the end of the second quarter of 2007.

Acquisitions of \$455.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 reflect the Birchway Acquisition in the amount of \$396 million, as well as the purchase of producing properties in the northeast and east central Alberta ("Craigend/Radway/Stry Acquisition") for a net purchase price of \$45 million after adjustments, and the acquisition of properties in northeast Alberta producing approximately 0.7 MMcfe/d with an additional 2 MMcfe/d of shut-in natural gas production, for which PET receives monthly gas over bitumen royalty credits, for a net purchase price of \$14.0 million. These acquisitions were all completed in the second quarter of 2007.

On October 23, 2007 PET entered into an agreement to sell the office building it owns and occupies in downtown Calgary for a price of \$35.75 million. The transaction is expected to close in early December and will result in the Trust recording a gain of approximately \$23 million.

## MARKETING

### Natural gas prices

Natural gas prices (\$/Mcf, except percent amounts)	Three months ended September 30		Nine months ended September 30	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
<b>Reference prices</b>				
AECO Monthly Index	5.64	6.03	6.82	7.19
AECO Daily Index	5.14	5.65	6.54	6.40
Alberta Gas Reference Price <sup>(1)</sup>	5.19	5.66	6.37	6.64
<b>Average PET prices</b>				
Before financial hedging and physical forward sales <sup>(2)</sup>	5.41	6.04	6.53	6.62
% Alberta Gas Reference Price (%)	104	107	103	100
Before financial hedging <sup>(3)</sup>	5.54	6.79	6.78	7.19
% Alberta Gas Reference Price (%)	107	120	106	108
After financial hedging and physical forward sales ("Realized" natural gas price)	5.66	7.36	7.59	7.42
% Alberta Gas Reference Price (%)	109	130	119	112

(1) Alberta Gas Reference Price is the price used to calculate Alberta Crown royalties. Alberta Gas Reference Price for September 2007 is an estimate.

(2) PET's commodity hedging strategy employs both financial forward contracts and physical natural gas delivery contracts at fixed prices or price collars. In calculating the Trust's natural gas price before financial hedging and physical forward sales, PET assumes all natural gas sales based on physical delivery fixed-price or price collar contracts during the period were instead sold at AECO daily index.

(3) Natural gas price before financial hedging includes physical forward sales contracts for which delivery was made during the reporting period but excludes realized gains and losses on financial instruments.

Realized natural gas prices decreased by 23 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2007 to \$5.66 per Mcf from \$7.36 per Mcf in 2006. The Trust's realized gas price exceeded the Alberta Gas Reference Price by nine percent for the period as PET had a portion of its production volumes hedged at fixed prices in the quarter. The Trust realized \$27.1 million in gains on early termination of hedging contracts during the first six months of 2007 and as such the majority of the Trust's production was sold at market prices during the third quarter. Natural gas prices weakened dramatically late in the second quarter and into the third quarter of 2007 as a result of the combination of increased storage inventories resulting from high liquefied natural gas ("LNG") imports into the United States, strong deliveries of natural gas from US production and relatively mild early summer weather. In Canada the increase in the value of the Canadian dollar has further amplified the decrease in gas prices. While late summer cooling demand and reduced LNG imports have reduced storage concerns somewhat, the Trust remains cautious in its outlook with respect to near term natural gas prices, and has a significant portion of its natural gas production hedged at fixed prices for the fourth quarter of 2007, as described in the "Risk Management" section of this MD&A. PET's realized natural gas price was \$7.59 per Mcf for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, exceeding the Alberta Gas Reference Price by 19 percent and a two percent increase from \$7.42 per Mcf recorded for the same period in 2006, as a result of significant realized gains on financial instruments factoring into the 2007 realized gas price.

The Trust recorded an unrealized gain on financial instruments of \$33.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007, reflecting the change in the fair value of financial and physical forward contracts during the period. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007 the Trust incurred an unrealized loss on financial instruments of \$18.0 million (see "Change in Accounting Policy" in this MD&A). The new accounting standards dictate that all financial instruments for which hedge accounting is not followed are marked to market on each balance sheet date, with the change in fair value during the period recorded as an unrealized gain or loss on the statement of earnings. On initial adoption of the new accounting policy effective January 1, 2007, the trust recorded a financial instrument asset of \$30.6 million reflecting the fair value of all physical fixed-price natural gas sales and AECO-NYMEX fixed-basis contracts, with an offsetting credit to retained earnings. This financial instrument asset was not included in the Trust's earnings for the period. During the first six months of 2007 the Trust realized monthly gains as financial instruments settled and also terminated certain instruments in advance of their maturity dates in exchange for cash payments, leading to a substantial decrease in the number and corresponding value of the Trust's outstanding financial instruments to \$3.9 million as at June 30, 2007. During the third quarter, in order to protect PET's future cash flows from steadily decreasing natural gas prices the Trust increased its forward hedge position significantly, leading to the unrealized gain on financial instruments of \$33.6 million for the period.

PET had financial instrument assets of \$24.9 million on its balance sheet as at December 31, 2006 related to financial fixed-price forward natural gas and foreign exchange contracts. This asset, combined with the \$30.6 million recorded in respect of the change in accounting policy, resulted in a total financial instrument asset at January 1, 2007 of \$55.5 million. The Trust's financial instruments had a positive fair value of \$37.5 million as at September 30, 2007 and PET recorded an unrealized loss of \$18.0 million equal to the decline in the fair value of financial instruments during the period. A reconciliation of the change in fair value recorded is provided below.

**Reconciliation of unrealized loss on financial instruments (\$ millions)**

Financial instrument assets, December 31, 2006 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 24.9
Financial instrument asset recorded on January 1, 2007 in respect of fixed-price physical delivery and NYMEX basis contracts <sup>(2)</sup>	30.6
	55.5
Less: fair value of financial instrument assets net of liabilities, September 30, 2007 <sup>(1)</sup>	37.5
<b>Unrealized loss on financial instruments for the nine months ended September 30, 2007</b>	<b>\$ 18.0</b>

(1) Includes both long-term and short-term financial instrument assets and liabilities.

(2) See "Change in Accounting Policy" in this MD&A.

The decrease in fair value was more than offset by realized gains on financial instruments of \$36.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

PET's funds flow and its ability to pay distributions is not impacted by these unrealized mark-to-market amounts.

**Risk Management**

PET's risk management strategy is focused on using financial instruments to insure cash flow and distributions against commodity price volatility, to lock in attractive economics on acquisitions and to take advantage of perceived anomalies in natural gas markets. The Trust maintains a balanced gas price risk management portfolio using both financial hedge arrangements and physical forward sales to hedge up to a maximum of 50 percent of forecast production including gas over bitumen deemed volumes. PET will also enter into foreign exchange swaps and physical or financial swaps related to the differential between natural gas prices at the AECO and NYMEX trading hubs in order to mitigate the effects of fluctuations in foreign exchange rates and basis differentials on the Trust's realized gas price. The term "financial instruments" includes all financial and physical risk management contracts. Although PET considers these risk management contracts to be effective economic hedges against potential gas price volatility, the Trust does not follow hedge accounting for its financial instruments.

PET's hedging activities are conducted by an internal Risk Management Committee under guidelines approved by the administrator's Board of Directors. PET's hedging strategy though designed to protect cash flow and distributions is opportunistic in nature: depending on perceived position in the commodity price cycle the Trust may elect to reduce or increase its hedging position. The Trust mitigates credit risk by entering into risk management contracts with financially sound, credit-worthy counterparties. PET estimates that realized natural gas revenues of \$4.5 million for the third quarter of 2007 and \$47.0 million for the first nine months of 2007 can be attributed to the Trust's risk management program.

For a complete list of PET's outstanding financial instruments as at September 30, 2007, please see note 12 to the interim unaudited consolidated financial statements as at and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007.

PET continued to supplement its risk management program after the end of the third quarter. Financial and physical natural gas forward sales arrangements at November 6, 2007 are as follows:

### Financial hedges and physical forward sales contracts at November 6, 2007

Type of contract	PET buys/sells	Volumes at AECO (GJ/d) <sup>(2)</sup>	Price (\$/GJ) <sup>(1)</sup>	AECO futures market price (\$/GJ) <sup>(3)</sup>	Term
Financial	Sells	85,000	7.30		November 2007 – March 2008
Financial	Buys	(7,500)	7.29		November 2007 – March 2008
Physical	Sells	15,000	7.76		November 2007 – March 2008
Physical	Buys	(2,500)	8.63		November 2007 – March 2008
<b>Period Total</b>		<b>90,000</b>	<b>7.37</b>	<b>6.06</b>	<b>November 2007 – March 2008</b>
Financial	Sells	7,500	7.46		January – December 2008
Physical	Sells	2,500	7.45		January – December 2008
<b>Period Total</b>		<b>10,000</b>	<b>7.46</b>	<b>6.22</b>	<b>January – December 2008</b>
Financial	Sells	55,000	7.40		April – October 2008
<b>Period Total</b>		<b>55,000</b>	<b>7.40</b>	<b>6.11</b>	<b>April – October 2008</b>
Financial – NYMEX	Sells	10,000	US \$7.70		April – October 2008
Physical – NYMEX	Sells	5,000	US \$6.68		April – October 2008
<b>Period Total</b>		<b>15,000</b>	<b>US \$7.36</b>	<b>7.80</b>	<b>April – October 2008</b>
Financial	Sells	55,000	7.83		November 2008 – March 2009
Financial	Buys	(5,000)	7.26		November 2008 – March 2009
Physical	Sells	7,500	8.36		November 2008 – March 2009
<b>Period Total</b>		<b>57,500</b>	<b>7.89</b>	<b>7.06</b>	<b>November 2008 – March 2009</b>

(1) Average price calculated using weighted average price for sell contracts.

(2) All transactions are at AECO unless identified specifically as a NYMEX transaction. NYMEX transactions are measured in US\$ per MMBTU/d.

(3) AECO monthly index prices have settled for November; futures market reflects AECO forward market prices as at November 6, 2007.

As at November 6, 2007 the Trust had also entered into financial and forward physical gas sales arrangements to fix the basis differential between the NYMEX and AECO trading hubs as follows. The price at which these contracts settle is equal to the NYMEX index less a fixed basis amount.

Type of contract	Volumes at NYMEX (MMBTU/d)	Price (US\$/MMBTU)	Term
Physical – basis	2,500	(1.23)	November 2007 – March 2008
Physical – basis	37,500	(0.97)	April – October 2008
Financial – basis	5,000	(0.98)	April – October 2008

During the nine months ended September 30, 2007 the Trust early-terminated various financial and physical natural gas forward sales contracts in exchange for cash settlement payments totaling \$27.1 million. This amount has been included in funds flow for the period.

## FINANCIAL RESULTS

### Revenue

Revenue (\$ thousands)	Three months ended September 30		Nine months ended September 30	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Natural gas revenue, before financial hedging <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>98,508</b>	96,576	<b>302,652</b>	307,071
Realized gains (losses) on financial instruments <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>2,109</b>	8,149	<b>36,010</b>	9,609
<b>Total revenue</b>	<b>100,617</b>	104,725	<b>338,662</b>	316,680

(1) Includes revenues related to physical forward sales contracts which settled during the period.

(2) Realized gains (losses) on financial instruments include settled financial forward contracts and options.

Natural gas revenue before financial hedging increased to \$98.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 compared to \$96.6 million for the third quarter of 2006 primarily due to a 25 percent increase in production levels offset by a 23 percent decrease in realized natural gas prices. Realized gains on financial forward contracts totaled \$2.1 million for the period, as compared to realized gains of \$8.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006. The Trust includes realized gains and losses on financial forward contracts in its calculation of realized natural gas prices after hedging. Natural gas revenue before financial hedging decreased to \$302.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 from \$307.1 million for the first nine months of 2006 largely due to lower natural gas prices.

## Funds flow

Funds flow reconciliation	\$ millions	Three months ended September 30				Nine months ended September 30			
		2007	2006	2007	2006	2007	2006	2007	2006
		\$/Mcf	\$ millions	\$/Mcf	\$ millions	\$/Mcf	\$ millions	\$/Mcf	
Production (Bcf)		<b>17.8</b>		14.2		<b>44.6</b>		42.7	
Revenue <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>100.6</b>	<b>5.66</b>	104.7	7.36	<b>338.7</b>	<b>7.59</b>	316.7	7.42	
Royalties	<b>(16.5)</b>	<b>(0.93)</b>	(14.8)	(1.04)	<b>(49.6)</b>	<b>(1.11)</b>	(52.8)	(1.24)	
Operating costs	<b>(26.3)</b>	<b>(1.48)</b>	(19.8)	(1.39)	<b>(73.4)</b>	<b>(1.64)</b>	(62.0)	(1.45)	
Transportation costs	<b>(3.6)</b>	<b>(0.20)</b>	(3.0)	(0.21)	<b>(9.3)</b>	<b>(0.21)</b>	(9.4)	(0.22)	
Operating netback from production <sup>(3)</sup>	<b>54.2</b>	<b>3.05</b>	67.1	4.72	<b>206.4</b>	<b>4.63</b>	192.5	4.51	
Gas over bitumen royalty adjustments	<b>3.7</b>	<b>0.21</b>	3.7	0.26	<b>13.5</b>	<b>0.30</b>	14.7	0.34	
Lease rentals	<b>(1.2)</b>	<b>(0.07)</b>	(0.9)	(0.07)	<b>(2.7)</b>	<b>(0.06)</b>	(2.3)	(0.05)	
General and administrative <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>(5.8)</b>	<b>(0.32)</b>	(3.3)	(0.23)	<b>(15.1)</b>	<b>(0.34)</b>	(11.6)	(0.27)	
Interest on bank and other debt <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>(5.9)</b>	<b>(0.33)</b>	(3.2)	(0.22)	<b>(13.5)</b>	<b>(0.30)</b>	(8.7)	(0.20)	
Interest on convertible debentures <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>(3.8)</b>	<b>(0.21)</b>	(2.6)	(0.18)	<b>(9.1)</b>	<b>(0.20)</b>	(6.1)	(0.14)	
Funds flow <sup>(2) (3)</sup>	<b>41.2</b>	<b>2.33</b>	60.8	4.28	<b>179.5</b>	<b>4.03</b>	178.5	4.19	

(1) Revenue includes realized gains and losses on financial instruments.

(2) Excludes non-cash items.

(3) This is a non-GAAP measure; see "Significant accounting policies and non-GAAP measures" in this MD&A.

## Royalties

For the three months ended September 30, 2007, PET's average royalty rate (royalties as a percentage of revenues including gains and losses on financial instruments) climbed to 16.4 percent from 14.1 percent in the third quarter of 2006. The higher royalty rate in the current quarter is due to PET's realized natural gas price being closer to the Alberta Gas Reference Price (109 percent of the Alberta Gas Reference Price as opposed to 130 percent of the Alberta Gas Reference Price in 2006). Alberta Crown royalties are based on the Alberta Gas Reference Price. The Trust's royalty rate as a percentage of revenue measured 14.6 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to 16.7 percent for the first three quarters of 2006. The lower royalty rate in 2007 is due primarily to the \$27.1 million in revenue associated with the early termination gains on financial instrument contracts. These early termination gains are not related to current production and therefore have no associated royalty expense.

On October 25, 2007, the Government of Alberta announced a "New Royalty Framework" for oil and natural gas royalties in the Province of Alberta. New royalty rates will apply to all production effective January 1, 2009. While detailed Regulations have yet to be released, PET's initial assessment is that, based on the Trust's current profile of well productivity and at current natural gas prices, the effect of the new royalty framework on cash flow would be minimal. Royalty rates would rise relative to their current levels at higher gas prices, and decrease relative to their current levels at lower gas prices. The Trust estimates the impact to its actual royalty rate at various gas prices as follows:

	AECO Gas Price (\$/GJ)			
Estimated change in royalty rate	\$ 5.00	\$ 6.00	\$ 7.00	\$ 8.00
Increase (decrease) in royalty rate (percentage points)	(4)	(1)	3	5

## Operating costs

Operating costs increased to \$26.3 million (\$1.48 per Mcf) in the three months ended September 30, 2007 from \$19.8 million (\$1.39 per Mcf) for the same period in 2006. Operating costs for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 totaled \$73.4 million or \$1.64 per Mcf as compared to \$62.0 million or \$1.45 per Mcf for the first nine months of 2006. Unit-of-production costs increased 13 percent in the first nine months of 2007 due to higher fixed costs associated with the operation of additional plants and expenses related to new production volumes in east central Alberta. The six percent increase in unit operating costs for the three months ended September 30, 2007 from 2006 levels is due in part to higher unit costs for the Birchway Assets as compared to PET's pre-existing asset base.

## Transportation costs

Transportation costs decreased marginally on a unit-of-production basis to \$0.20 per Mcf and \$0.21 per Mcf for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007, as compared to \$0.21 per Mcf and \$0.22 per Mcf for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 respectively. PET has reduced its transportation expenses by an average of approximately \$0.05 per Mcf over the past three years as the Trust has pursued arrangements to market gas directly to end users proximal to the Trust's northeast Alberta operations at market-based prices. These contracts benefit from reduced transportation costs.

## Operating netback

Despite the 25 percent increase in production volumes, lower realized gas prices and increased operating costs resulted in a \$12.9 million decrease in PET's operating netback to \$54.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 from \$67.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006.

**Operating netback reconciliation** (\$ millions)

Production increase	26.0
Price decrease, including realized gains on financial instruments	(30.2)
Royalty increase	(1.7)
Transportation cost increase	(0.5)
Operating cost increase	(6.5)
Increase (decrease) in net operating income	(12.9)

**General and administrative costs**

General and administrative expenses increased to \$6.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 compared to \$3.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006. The increase is due primarily to higher staff levels resulting from the Birchway Acquisition, and transition costs related to the integration of Birchway systems, records and offices with PET's existing operations. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007 general and administrative expenses totaled \$21.2 million, an increase of \$8.0 million over the comparative period for 2006. Approximately \$4.5 million of the increase is due to higher non-cash stock-based compensation expense relating primarily to a change in PET's unit incentive plan which was accounted for in the second quarter of 2007.

**Interest**

Interest and other expense totaled \$6.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to \$3.2 million for the comparable period in 2006. Interest expense has increased primarily as a result of higher average bank debt of \$378 million in the third quarter of 2007 as compared to \$236 million for the third quarter of 2006 and an increase in short-term interest rates. Interest and other expense also includes a loss on investment of \$0.6 million related to the decline in market value of the Trust's investment in Cordero Energy Inc. ("Cordero"), a publicly traded oil and gas exploration company. The investment was obtained in the third quarter of 2007 as a result of the acquisition by Cordero of Sebring Energy Ltd., a private oil and gas company in which the Trust had an investment through an exchange of undeveloped lands for shares in 2005.

Interest on convertible debentures for the three months ended September 30, 2007 increased by \$1.5 million compared to the three months ended September 30, 2006 due primarily to the issuance of \$75 million of 6.50% convertible unsecured subordinated debentures in June 2007 as partial funding for the Birchway Acquisition. Included in convertible debenture interest expense for the third quarter of 2006 is \$0.8 million of non-cash expenses related primarily to the amortization of debt issue costs, as compared to \$0.6 million for the comparative period in 2006.

**Gas over bitumen royalty adjustments**

On October 4, 2004 the Government of Alberta enacted amendments to the royalty regulation with respect to natural gas (the "Royalty Regulation"), which provide a mechanism whereby the Government may prescribe additional royalty components to effect a reduction in the royalty calculated through the Crown royalty system for operators of gas wells which have been denied the right to produce by the AEUB as a result of recent bitumen conservation decisions. The Department of Energy issued an Information Letter 2004-36 ("IL 2004-36") which, in conjunction with the Royalty Regulation, sets out the details of the gas over bitumen financial solution. The formula for calculation of the royalty reduction provided in the Royalty Regulation is:

$$0.5 \times ((\text{deemed production volume} \times 0.80) \times (\text{Alberta Gas Reference Price} - \$0.3791/\text{GJ}))$$

The Trust's net deemed production volume for purposes of the royalty adjustment was 20.2 MMcf/d in the third quarter of 2007. Deemed production represents all PET natural gas production shut-in or denied production pursuant to a decision report, corresponding order or general bulletin of the AEUB, or through correspondence in relation to an AEUB ID 99-1 application. In accordance with IL 2004-36, the deemed production volume related to wells shut-in is reduced by ten percent per year on the anniversary date of the shut-in order. Deemed production decreased only 0.3 MMcf/d from 20.5 MMcf/d for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as a result of the acquisition of approximately 2.0 MMcf/d of deemed production in the second quarter of 2007, offset by the annual ten percent reduction in deemed production volumes discussed previously.

The majority of royalty adjustments received have been recorded on PET's balance sheet rather than reported as income as the Trust cannot determine if, when or to what extent the royalty adjustments may be repayable through incremental royalties if and when gas production recommences. Royalty adjustments may be repayable to the Crown in the form of an overriding royalty on gas production from wells which resume production within the gas over bitumen area. However, all royalty adjustments are recorded as a component of funds flow.

In the second quarter of 2006, PET disposed of certain shut-in gas wells in the gas over bitumen area. As part of the disposition agreement, the Trust continues to receive the gas over bitumen royalty adjustments related to the sold wells, although the ownership of the natural gas reserves is transferred to the buyer. As such, any overriding royalty payable to the Crown when gas production recommences from the affected wells is no longer PET's responsibility. As a result of this disposition, the gas over bitumen royalty adjustments received by the Trust for the affected wells are now considered revenue since they will not be repaid to the Crown.

For the three months ended September 30, 2007 the Trust received \$3.7 million in gas over bitumen royalty adjustments, of which \$0.6 million was classified as revenue and \$3.1 million was recorded on the Trust's balance sheet, as compared to \$3.7 million received in the third quarter of 2006. Cumulative royalty adjustments received to September 30, 2007 total \$73.7 million.

As a result of the variables discussed above, funds flow netbacks decreased 46 percent from \$4.28 per Mcf in the third quarter of 2006 to \$2.33 per Mcf in the third quarter of 2007, and funds flow decreased by 32 percent to \$41.2 million (\$0.38 per Trust Unit) for the three months ended September 30, 2007 from \$60.8 million (\$0.72 per Trust Unit) in the 2006 period. Funds flow for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 totaled \$179.5 million (\$1.90 per Trust Unit) as compared to \$178.5 million (\$2.13 per Trust Unit) for the comparative period in 2006. The 11 percent decrease in funds flow per Trust Unit from 2006 was primarily due the increased number of units outstanding as a result of financing activities for the Birchway Acquisition.



## Earnings

The Trust reported net earnings of \$5.2 million (\$0.05 per basic and diluted Trust Unit) for the three months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to \$19.6 million (\$0.23 per basic and diluted Trust Unit) for the 2006 period. The decrease from 2006 is primarily a result of higher operating costs and higher depletion and depreciation charges, offset somewhat by an increase of \$19.6 million in unrealized gains on financial instruments. Net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 measured \$27.9 million (\$0.30 per basic and diluted Trust Unit) as compared to net earnings of \$49.4 million (\$0.59 per basic and diluted Trust Unit) in 2006, as \$36.0 million in realized gains on financial instruments recorded in the 2007 period were more than offset by higher operating and interest costs, higher depletion and depreciation charges and an unrealized loss on financial instruments of \$18.0 million.

Exploration expenses increased to \$3.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 from \$2.8 million for the third quarter of 2006 primarily due to additional seismic expenditures during the 2007 period.

Depletion, depreciation and accretion (“DD&A”) expense increased from \$48.8 million in the third quarter of 2006 to \$61.9 million in 2007 due primarily to increased production volumes. PET’s depletion rate was \$3.49 per Mcf in the three months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to \$3.43 per Mcf in 2006. DD&A expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 totaled \$159.5 million (\$3.57 per Mcf), an increase of \$14.8 million over the \$144.7 million (\$3.39 per Mcf) recorded in the first nine months of 2006. The decrease in DD&A rate for the third quarter of 2007 as compared to the year-to-date DD&A rate is due to the relatively low cost of proved reserve additions provided by the Birchway Acquisition.

As natural gas prices have weakened significantly since December 31, 2006, the Trust performed a detailed impairment test on its natural gas asset base as at September 30, 2007, using preliminary reserve estimates and forward gas prices as of October 1, 2007. The test did not show any material asset impairment as of the balance sheet date. The Trust will continue to periodically monitor its natural gas assets for impairment, as low gas prices are expected to persist for the near term.

## Income taxes and proposed changes to trust tax legislation

Bill C-52 Budget Implementation Act 2007 (“Bill C-52”) received third reading in the Canadian House of Commons on June 12, 2007 and was fully enacted on June 22, 2007. Bill C-52 contains legislative provisions to implement certain proposals to impose a direct tax on distributions at the trust level for publicly traded income trusts and adjust the taxation at the personal level of trust distributions originally announced on October 31, 2006 (the “Trust Tax Legislation”). Under Canadian accounting guidelines, changes in income tax legislation should be accounted for when they are substantively enacted, which is deemed to occur when the related government bill receives third reading in the case of a minority government.

Under the Trust Tax Legislation all distributions will no longer be deductible in computing trust taxable income, resulting in a 31.5 percent tax at the trust level on taxable income before distributions. In conjunction with the trust level tax, the personal tax on distributions is adjusted to be similar to the tax paid on a dividend received from a taxable Canadian corporation. The Trust Tax Legislation effectively reduces income available for distribution to PET’s Unitholders, with the end result being a two-tiered tax structure similar to that of corporations and double taxation of distributions for Unitholders who hold their Trust Units in registered accounts such as RRSP, RRIF and RESP accounts.

On October 30, 2007 the federal government announced proposed staged reductions to corporate tax rates, resulting in a federal tax rate of 15 percent by 2012. The federal government also indicated that it intended to extend the proposed corporate tax rate reductions to SIFTs.

The new trust tax applies to PET effective January 1, 2011 assuming the Trust continues to comply with the “normal growth” provisions as outlined by the federal government. Specifically “normal growth” includes equity growth within certain “safe harbour” limits measured by reference to a Specified Investment Flow Through’s (“SIFT”) market capitalization as of the end of trading on October 31, 2006. The safe harbour calculation would include only the market value of the SIFT’s issued and outstanding publicly-traded trust units and not any convertible debt, options or other interests convertible into or exchangeable for trust units. Those safe harbour limits are 40 percent for the period from November 1, 2006 to December 31, 2007, and 20 percent each for calendar 2008, 2009 and 2010. These limits are cumulative, so that any unused limit for a period carries over into the subsequent period. Additional details of the guidelines include the following:

- (i) new equity for these purposes includes units and debt that is convertible into units, and may include other substitutes for equity;
- (ii) replacing debt that was outstanding as of October 31, 2006 with new equity, whether by a conversion into trust units of convertible debentures or otherwise, will not be considered growth for these purposes and will therefore not affect the safe harbour; and
- (iii) the exchange, for trust units, of exchangeable partnership units or exchangeable shares that were outstanding on October 31, 2006 will not be considered growth for these purposes and will therefore not affect the safe harbour where the issuance of the trust units is made in satisfaction of the exercise of the exchange right by a person other than the SIFT.

The Trust’s market capitalization as of the close of trading on October 31, 2006, having regard only to its issued and outstanding publicly-traded Trust Units, was approximately \$1.4 billion, which means the Trust’s “safe harbour” equity growth amount for the period ending December 31, 2007 is approximately \$560 million, and for each of calendar 2008, 2009 and 2010 is an additional approximately \$280 million, not including equity issued to replace the Trust’s debt that was outstanding on October 31, 2006, including convertible debentures. Failure to comply with the “normal growth” provisions as outlined would result in the Trust being subject to the new tax immediately, as opposed to January 1, 2011. Since October 31, 2006 PET has issued approximately \$357 million of new Trust Units and convertible debentures through the public offering completed on June 20, 2007, the Trust’s Distribution Reinvestment and Optional Trust Unit Purchase Plan (“DRIP Plan”) and the Unit Incentive Plan.

PET is strictly opposed to the changes in the tax treatment of income trusts outlined in the Trust Tax Legislation and is participating in a number of initiatives to try to effect change to the legislation, such as participation in the Canadian Coalition of Energy Trusts (“CCET” or the “Coalition”). Further, PET encourages all Unitholders to join the advocacy group that was formed to be the voice of concerned Canadians and individual investors, the Canadian Association of Income Trust Investors (“CAITI”). Unitholders and concerned Canadians can register online at the CAITI website at [www.caiti.info](http://www.caiti.info). Information is available on PET’s website as well as the CCET ([www.canadianenergytrusts.ca](http://www.canadianenergytrusts.ca)) and CAITI websites to assist Unitholders in their efforts to gather facts, formulate opinions and voice concerns. The Trust is in strong fundamental disagreement with the policy itself as PET believes in the well-established ability of

the energy trust model to enhance the efficient deployment of Canada's oil and gas resources. Furthermore, the Trust is opposed to the implementation of this change without consultation with energy trusts particularly after investors in energy trusts may have relied on specific statements made by the Conservative Party of Canada regarding their intention not to alter the tax treatment of income trusts. To this end, PET encourages Unitholders to participate in the Coalition's "Expect More" campaign by visiting [www.iexpectmore.ca](http://www.iexpectmore.ca).

PET has not recorded a future income tax liability as a result of the Trust Tax Legislation being enacted. Based on production forecasts included in the independent reserve report for the assets acquired by PET during the period and the independent reserve report on PET's reserves as at December 31, 2006, the book values of the Trust's assets are projected to approximate the related tax values by January 1, 2011, the date the direct tax on distributions within the Trust becomes effective. PET has estimated tax pools of \$713 million at September 30, 2007 and intends to maximize the preservation of tax pools over the transition period in order to minimize the tax consequences faced by the Trust in 2011 and future years.

## SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY RESULTS

(\$ thousands except where noted)	Sept 30, 2007	June 30, 2007	Mar 31, 2007	Three months ended
				Dec 31, 2006
Natural gas revenues before royalties <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>98,508</b>	104,451	99,693	94,564
Natural gas production (MMcfe/d)	<b>193.1</b>	155.0	141.7	144.6
Funds flow <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>41,212</b>	72,669	65,597	58,166
Per Trust Unit - basic	<b>0.38</b>	0.81	0.76	0.69
Net earnings (loss)	<b>5,246</b>	6,126	(39,261)	(68,254)
Per Trust Unit - basic	<b>0.05</b>	0.07	(0.46)	(0.80)
- diluted	<b>0.05</b>	0.07	(0.46)	(0.80)
Realized natural gas price (\$/Mcf)	<b>5.66</b>	8.80	8.94	7.83
Average AECO Daily Index price (\$/GJ)	<b>5.14</b>	7.07	7.40	6.54

(\$ thousands except where noted)	Sept 30, 2006	June 30, 2006	Mar 31, 2006	Three months ended
				Dec 31, 2005
Natural gas revenues before royalties <sup>(1)</sup>	96,576	97,856	112,639	144,645
Natural gas production (MMcfe/d)	154.6	162.9	151.5	153.7
Funds flow <sup>(2)</sup>	60,770	56,605	61,112	78,200
Per Trust Unit - basic	0.72	0.68	0.74	0.96
Net earnings (loss)	19,619	21,816	7,969	17,899
Per Trust Unit - basic	0.23	0.26	0.10	0.22
- diluted	0.23	0.26	0.10	0.22
Realized natural gas price (\$/Mcf)	7.36	6.85	8.09	9.14
Average AECO Daily Index price (\$/GJ)	5.36	5.71	7.13	10.72

(1) Excludes realized gains (losses) on financial instruments.

(2) These are non-GAAP measures; see "Significant accounting policies and non-GAAP measures" in this MD&A.

Natural gas revenues were highest in the fourth quarter of 2005 due to higher natural gas prices. Revenues and funds flow then decreased in the 2006 quarters but by a much lower margin than AECO prices due to stability provided by the Trust's commodity hedging program. Revenues and fund flow increased again in the first two quarters of 2007 as a result of higher gas prices and realized gains on the early termination of financial instrument contracts. For the three months ended September 30, 2007 funds flow decreased 43 percent from the second quarter of 2007 despite increased production from the Birchwavy assets, primarily as a result of a 36 percent decrease in realized gas prices.

Net earnings are highest in the second and third quarters of 2006 as a result of unrealized gains on financial instruments and the reclassification of certain gas over bitumen royalty adjustments into earnings, respectively. The net loss in the fourth quarter of 2006 was due to impairment charges at east central Alberta and Saskatchewan and higher DD&A expenses as compared to previous quarters. The net loss in the first quarter of 2007 is due to a \$48.5 million unrealized loss on the change mark-to-market value of PET's financial instruments during the period.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

<b>Debt and capitalization</b> (\$ thousands except per Trust Unit and percent amounts)	<b>September 30, 2007</b>	December 31, 2006
Bank debt	<b>372,808</b>	222,923
Convertible debentures, measured at principal amount	<b>236,109</b>	161,134
Working capital deficiency (surplus) <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>9,570</b>	22,561
Net debt	<b>618,487</b>	406,618
Trust Units outstanding (thousands)	<b>108,473</b>	85,186
Market price at end of period (\$/Trust Unit)	<b>8.11</b>	12.40
Market value of Trust Units	<b>879,716</b>	1,056,306
Total capitalization <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>1,498,203</b>	1,462,924
Net debt as a percentage of total capitalization (%)	<b>41.3</b>	27.8
Funds flow for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>179,478</b>	236,653
Annualized funds flow <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>239,304</b>	236,653
Net debt to annualized funds flow ratio (times) <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>2.6</b>	1.7

(1) These are non-GAAP measures; see "Significant accounting policies and non-GAAP measures" in this MD&A. Funds flow in the prior year column is for the year ended December 31, 2006.

(2) Working capital deficiency (surplus) excludes short-term financial instrument assets and liabilities related to the Trust's hedging activities.

PET currently owns the building in which its head office operations are located. The building has a mortgage in the amount of \$5.5 million, bears interest at 6.15 percent and matures on January 1, 2008. The mortgage will be repaid upon closing of the sale of the building, with the remainder of the proceeds being applied against net debt. A gain of approximately \$23 million will be recorded upon closing of the sale. PET will be relocating its head office and all Calgary staff to 605 - 5 Avenue Southwest in late November 2007.

PET has a demand credit facility with a syndicate of Canadian chartered banks. The revolving feature of the facility expires on May 26, 2008 if not extended. Upon expiry of the revolving feature of the facility, should it not be extended, amounts outstanding as of the expiry date will have a term to maturity date of one additional year. The borrowing base on the facility is currently \$400 million. The Trust has requested that the borrowing base be increased to \$420 million with a reduction to \$400 million on the earlier of December 31, 2007 and the closing date of the sale of PET's office building. The majority of the Trust's lenders have completed their review and approved this request and the Trust expects full approval in the near future. The Trust's lenders have also completed their semi-annual borrowing base redetermination and the review of the Trust's natural gas reserves is expected to result in a reconfirmation of PET's borrowing base at \$400 million through May 26, 2008. Collateral for the credit facility is provided by a floating-charge debenture covering all existing and acquired property of the Trust as well as unconditional full liability guarantees from all subsidiaries in respect of amounts borrowed under the facility. Bank debt increased to \$372.8 million at September 30, 2007, as compared to \$222.9 million at December 31, 2006 as a result of the Birchway Acquisition and expenditures related to the Trust's capital programs, offset somewhat by proceeds received through the Trust's DRIP program and funds flows in excess of distributions during the year. In addition to amounts outstanding under the credit facility PET has outstanding letters of credit in the amount of \$3.87 million.

At September 30, 2007 PET had convertible debentures outstanding as follows:

<b>Convertible debentures series</b>	6.50%	2006 – 6.25%	2005 – 6.25%	8%
Principal outstanding (\$ millions)	75.0	100.0	55.3	5.9
Maturity date	June 30, 2012	April 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
Conversion price (\$ per Trust Unit)	14.20	23.80	19.35	14.20
Fair market value (\$ millions)	67.5	95.9	52.5	5.9

Fair values of debentures are calculated by multiplying the number of debentures outstanding at September 30, 2007 by the quoted market price per debenture at that date. None of the debentures were converted into trust units during the three months ended September 30, 2007.

Net debt to annualized funds flow rose to 2.6 times for the quarter ended September 30, 2007 from 1.7 times for the year ended December 31, 2006. The increase in net debt is largely a function of the acquisitions completed in the second quarter.

A reconciliation of the increase in net debt from December 31, 2006 to September 30, 2007 is as follows:

<b>Reconciliation of net debt</b> (\$ millions)	
Net debt, December 31, 2006	406.6
Capital expenditures	98.6
Acquisitions, net of dispositions	451.9
Issuance of Trust Units, net of issue costs	(237.5)
Issue costs for 6.5% convertible debentures	3.0
Funds flow	(179.5)
Distributions	113.1
Proceeds from DRIP plan	(25.7)
Reclassification of long-term investment to marketable securities	(1.2)
Reclassification of office building to asset held for sale	(13.0)
Proceeds on exercise of unit incentive rights	(0.1)
Expenditures on asset retirement obligations	2.3
Net debt, September 30, 2007	618.5

Through the Trust's Distribution Reinvestment and Optional Trust Unit Purchase Plan ("DRIP Plan") \$7.8 million was invested by Unitholders during the three months ended September 30, 2007 and a total of 905,000 Trust Units were issued at an average price of \$8.61 per Trust Unit. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007 \$25.7 million was invested in the DRIP plan and 2,591,000 Trust Units were issued at an average price of \$9.92 per Trust Unit.

## Distributions

Distributions are determined monthly by the Board of Directors of the Trust's administrator taking into account PET's forecasted production, capital spending and cash flow, forward natural gas price curves, the Trust's current hedging position, targeted debt levels and debt repayment obligations. The following items are considered in arriving at cash distributions to Unitholders:

- Exploration and development expenditures;
- Projected production additions;
- Debt repayments to the extent required or deemed appropriate by management to preserve balance sheet strength for future opportunities;
- Base production forecasts;
- Current financial and physical forward natural gas sales contracts;
- Forward market for natural gas prices;
- Site reclamation and abandonment expenditures; and
- Working capital requirements.

Distributions for the third quarter of 2007 totaled \$32.4 million or \$0.30 per Trust Unit consisting of \$0.10 per Trust Unit paid on August 15, September 17 and October 15. The Trust's payout ratio, which is the ratio of distributions to funds flow, was 78.7 percent in the current quarter as compared to 83.2 percent for the third quarter of 2006. PET's distributions are less than funds flow as the Trust retains a portion of its funds flow to finance capital expenditures and debt repayments. The payout ratio in future periods will largely be determined by the Trust's capital spending plans and resulting production levels, royalty rates, operating costs and natural gas prices, which have experienced significant volatility in 2007. Distributions for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 totaled \$113.1 million or \$1.20 per Trust Unit, and cumulative distributions since the inception of the Trust to September 30, 2007 totaled \$784.4 million or \$11.624 per Trust Unit.

PET anticipates that distributions and capital expenditures for the remainder of 2007 and 2008 will be funded by funds flow; however changes in natural gas prices, cash netbacks and production levels can affect future capital spending plans and distributions. Acquisitions will continue to be funded through a combination of internally generated funds, equity offerings and debt financing.

<b>Distributions</b> (\$ thousands)	Three months ended	September 30	Nine months ended	September 30
	<b>2007</b>	2006	<b>2007</b>	2006
Cash flows from operating activities	<b>51,707</b>	55,194	<b>184,655</b>	171,888
Net earnings (loss)	<b>5,246</b>	19,619	<b>(27,889)</b>	49,404
Distributions	<b>32,448</b>	50,583	<b>113,073</b>	170,821
Excess (shortfall) of cash flows from operating activities over distributions	<b>19,259</b>	4,611	<b>71,582</b>	1,067
Excess (shortfall) of net earnings (loss) over distributions	<b>(27,202)</b>	(30,964)	<b>(140,962)</b>	(121,417)

The Trust targets long-term sustainability of both its production base and distributions to Unitholders. As such, PET's distribution rates are designed to result in an excess of cash flows from operating activities over distributions which will provide the majority of the funding for PET's exploration and development expenditures for the respective periods. The excesses of \$19.3 million and \$71.6 million

for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 compare to exploration and development expenditures of \$9.5 million and \$90.9 million for those periods, respectively. In periods where the excess of cash flows from operating activities over distributions is less than exploration and development expenditures, the shortfall is funded by proceeds from the Trust's DRIP program, additional bank borrowings and external financing activities as appropriate.

The Trust has an excess of distributions over net earnings in all periods presented, and distributions are likely to continue to exceed net earnings in future periods. PET does not typically compare distributions to earnings due to the significant impact of non-cash items on earnings, such as unrealized gains and losses on financial instruments and DD&A, which have no impact on the Trust's ability to pay distributions. Where distributions exceed net earnings, a portion of the cash distributions declared may represent an economic return of capital to the Trust's Unitholders.

## 2007 OUTLOOK AND SENSITIVITIES

The Trust's current hedging and physical forward sales portfolio has significantly reduced PET's exposure to downside in natural gas prices. The following table reflects PET's projected realized gas price, monthly funds flow and payout ratio at the current monthly distribution of \$0.10 per Trust Unit, for the remaining three months of 2007 at certain AECO natural gas price levels and incorporating all of the Trust's current financial hedges and physical forward sales contracts.

<b>Funds flow sensitivity analysis</b>	Average AECO Monthly Index Gas Price October to December 2007 (\$/GJ)		
	\$ 5.00	\$ 6.00	\$ 7.00
Realized gas price (\$/Mcf) <sup>(1)</sup>	6.14	6.76	7.39
Funds flow (\$million/month) <sup>(2)</sup>	16.1	19.0	21.8
Per Trust Unit (\$/Unit/month)	0.149	0.175	0.201
Payout ratio (%) <sup>(2)</sup>	67	57	50
Ending total net debt (\$million)	597	589	580
Ending total net debt to funds flow ratio (times) <sup>(3)</sup>	2.6	2.5	2.4

(1) PET's weighted average forward price on an average of 90,000 GJ/d for the period from October 1 to December 31, 2007 is \$6.81/GJ as a result of forward physical sales and financial hedging contracts.

(2) These are non-GAAP measures; see "Significant accounting policies and non-GAAP measures" in this MD&A.

(3) Calculated as ending total net debt (including convertible debentures) divided by estimated 2007 annual funds flow.

## OTHER SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND NON-GAAP MEASURES

### Payout ratio

Payout ratio refers to distributions measured as a percentage of funds flow for the period and is used by management to analyze funds flow available for development and acquisition opportunities as well as overall sustainability of distributions. Funds flow does not have any standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP and therefore payout ratio may not be comparable to the calculation of similar measures for other entities.

### Operating and funds flow netbacks

Operating and funds flow netbacks are used by management to analyze margin and funds flow on each Mcf of natural gas production. Operating and funds flow netbacks do not have any standardized meaning as prescribed by GAAP and therefore may not be comparable to the calculation of similar measures for other entities. Operating and funds flow netbacks should not be viewed as an alternative to funds flow from operations, net earnings per Trust Unit or other measures of financial performance calculated in accordance with GAAP.

### Unitholders' equity before distributions and cumulative distributions since inception

Unitholders' equity before distributions and cumulative distributions since inception are used by management to compare total equity before any reduction for distributions from period to period. Unitholders' equity before distributions and cumulative distributions since inception do not have any standardized meaning as prescribed by GAAP and therefore may not be comparable to the calculation of similar measures for other entities. Unitholders' equity before distributions and cumulative distributions since inception should not be viewed as alternatives to Unitholders' equity or other measures calculated in accordance with GAAP.

### Total capitalization

Total capitalization is equal to net debt including convertible debentures plus market value of issued equity and is used by management to analyze leverage. Total capitalization as presented does not have any standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP and therefore it may not be comparable with the calculation of similar measures for other entities. Total capitalization is not intended to represent the total funds from equity and debt received by the Trust.

### Revenue, including realized gains (losses) on financial instruments

Revenue, including realized gains (losses) on financial instruments is used by management to calculate the Trust's net realized natural gas price taking into account monthly settlements on financial forward natural gas sales and foreign exchange contracts. These contracts are put in place to protect PET's funds flows from potential volatility in natural gas prices, and as such any related realized gains or losses are considered part of the Trust's natural gas price. Revenue, including realized gains (losses) on financial instruments does not have any standardized meaning as prescribed by GAAP and should not be reviewed as an alternative to Revenue or other measures calculated in accordance with GAAP.

## **INTERNAL CONTROLS**

Disclosure controls and procedures have been designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Trust is accumulated and communicated to the Trust's management, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. PET's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded, based on their evaluation as of September 30, 2007 that the Trust's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to provide reasonable assurance that material information related to PET, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to them by others within those entities.

During the three months ended September 30, 2007, there have been no changes in PET's internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Trust's internal control over financial reporting, except for the potential impact from reporting the acquisition of a private oil and gas exploration company, as more fully disclosed in Note 5 to the Trust's unaudited consolidated interim financial statements as at and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007. PET is currently in the process of assessing and integrating financial reporting systems and controls for the acquired entity into its own financial reporting systems.

## **CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING POLICY**

In 2005 the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants ("CICA") issued new standards for the recognition, measurement and disclosure of financial instruments. Under the new standards, which were effective January 1, 2007, PET's portfolio of forward fixed-price natural gas sales contracts and AECO/NYMEX fixed-basis contracts (collectively "physical hedging contracts") are considered non-financial derivatives and are accounted for as financial instruments. Accordingly, the fair values of the Trust's physical hedging contracts as at January 1, 2007 were recorded as an asset of \$30.6 million on the Trust's balance sheet with an offsetting credit to retained earnings. The fair values of the physical hedging contracts were calculated by PET based on an independently obtained forward natural gas price curve as at January 1, 2007.

Changes in fair value of these contracts from January 1, 2007 to September 30, 2007, as well as fair values of other physical and financial natural gas hedging contracts entered into during the nine month period as at September 30, were included in net earnings for the period. The decrease in fair value during the period was \$18.0 million, which has been included in "unrealized loss on financial instruments" on PET's statement of earnings (loss) for the six months ended September 30, 2007. In future periods the Trust will account for both physical and financial risk management contracts as financial instruments, and fair value all such contracts at each balance sheet date.

As the change in accounting policy was applied prospectively there is no related impact on earnings for previous periods.

## **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES**

The MD&A is based on the Trust's consolidated financial statements which have been prepared in Canadian dollars in accordance with GAAP. The application of GAAP requires management to make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, if any, at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. PET bases its estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

The critical accounting estimates employed by PET in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements are discussed in the MD&A for the year ended December 31, 2006. In addition, the following critical accounting estimate was used in the consolidated financial statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007.

### **Purchase price allocation**

Corporate acquisitions are accounted for by the purchase method of accounting whereby the purchase price is allocated to the assets and liabilities acquired based on their fair values, as estimated by management at the time of acquisition. The excess of the purchase price over the fair values represents goodwill. In order to estimate fair values, management has to make various assumptions, including commodity prices, reserves acquired and discount rates. Differences from these estimates may impact the future financial statements of the Trust.

## **QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

PET's operations are affected by a number of underlying risks both internal and external to the Trust. These risks are similar to those affecting others in both the conventional oil and gas royalty trust sector and the conventional oil and gas producers sector. The Trust's financial position, results of operations, and cash available for distribution to Unitholders are directly impacted by these factors.

### **Income taxes**

The Trust Tax Legislation results in a tax applicable at the trust level on certain income from publicly traded mutual fund trusts at rates of tax comparable to the combined federal and provincial corporate tax and treats distributions as dividends to the Unitholders. Existing trusts will have a four-year transition period and, subject to the qualification below, the new tax will apply in January 2011. Once applied the new tax will affect PET's funds flow and may impact cash distributions from the Trust.

In light of the foregoing, the Trust Tax Legislation has reduced the value of the Trust's units, which increases the cost to PET of raising capital in the public capital markets for acquisition opportunities. PET's access to capital markets could also be affected by this legislation. In addition, the Trust Tax Legislation is expected to place PET and other Canadian energy trusts at a competitive disadvantage relative to industry competitors, including U.S. master limited partnerships, which will continue to not be subject to entity-level taxation. There can be no assurance that PET will be able to reorganize its legal and tax structure to substantially mitigate the expected impact of the Trust Tax Legislation.

## Gas over bitumen issue

On July 24, 2007 the Alberta Energy and Utilities Board (“EUB”) released Decision 2007-056 related to the application for shut-in of certain natural gas production in northeast Alberta. Although PET does not produce natural gas in the area identified in Decision 2007-056, the EUB did note in its conclusions that a broad bitumen conservation strategy may be required for all areas where natural gas production may interfere with eventual bitumen recovery. It is possible that such a strategy, when drafted and implemented by the EUB, will affect future natural gas production from reservoirs owned by the Trust and located within the gas over bitumen areas of concern. Decision 2007-056 did not specifically provide a timeline or process for arriving at a general bitumen conservation strategy.

## Depletion of reserves

The Trust has certain unique attributes which differentiate it from other oil and gas industry participants. Distributions, absent commodity price increases or cost effective acquisition and development activities, will decline over time in a manner consistent with declining production from typical oil and natural gas reserves. PET will not be reinvesting cash flow in the same manner as other industry participants as one of the main objectives of the Trust is to maximize long-term distributions. Accordingly, absent capital injections, PET’s initial production levels and reserves will decline.

PET’s future oil and natural gas reserves and production, and therefore its funds flows, will be highly dependent on PET’s success in exploiting its reserve base and acquiring additional reserves. Without reserves additions through acquisition or development activities, the Trust’s reserves and production will decline over time as reserves are exploited.

To the extent that external sources of capital including the issuance of additional Trust Units become limited or unavailable PET’s ability to make the necessary capital investments to maintain or expand its oil and natural gas reserves will be impaired. To the extent that PET is required to use funds flow to finance capital expenditures or property acquisitions, the level of distributions will be reduced.

PET reinvests capital to minimize the effects of natural production decline on its asset base. The Trust currently estimates that capital expenditures of \$100 million to \$130 million annually are required to maintain production at current levels. There can be no assurance that PET will be successful in developing or acquiring additional reserves on terms that meet the Trust’s investment objectives.

Other risks and uncertainties affecting PET’s operations are substantially unchanged from those presented in the MD&A for the year ended December 31, 2006.

## FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This MD&A contains forward-looking information with respect to PET.

The use of any of the words “anticipate”, “continue”, “estimate”, “expect”, “may”, “will”, “project”, “should”, “believe”, “outlook” and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results or events to differ materially from those anticipated in our forward-looking statements. We believe the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable. However, we cannot assure the reader that these expectations will prove to be correct. The reader should not unduly rely on forward-looking statements included in this report. These statements speak only as of the date of the MD&A.

In particular, this MD&A contains forward-looking statements pertaining to the following:

- the quantity and recoverability of PET’s reserves;
- the timing and amount of future production;
- prices for natural gas produced;
- operating and other costs;
- business strategies and plans of management;
- supply and demand for natural gas;
- expectations regarding PET’s access to capital to fund its acquisition, exploration and development activities;
- the disposition swap, farm in, farm out or investment in certain exploration properties using third party resources;
- the use of exploration and development activity and acquisitions to replace and add to reserves;
- the impact of changes in natural gas prices on funds flow after hedging;
- drilling, completion, facilities and construction plans;
- the existence, operations and strategy of the commodity price risk management program;
- the approximate and maximum amount of forward sales and hedging to be employed;
- the Trust’s acquisition strategy, the criteria to be considered in connection therewith and the benefits to be derived there from;
- the impact of Canadian federal and provincial governmental regulation on the Trust relative to other issuers;
- PET’s treatment under governmental regulatory regimes;
- the goal to sustain or grow production and reserves through prudent asset management and acquisitions;
- the emergence of accretive growth opportunities; and
- PET’s ability to benefit from the combination of growth opportunities and the ability to grow through the capital markets.

PET's actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of the risk factors set forth below and elsewhere in this MD&A which include but are not limited to:

- volatility in market prices for natural gas;
- risks inherent in PET's operations;
- uncertainties associated with estimating reserves;
- competition for, among other things; capital, acquisitions of reserves, undeveloped lands, skilled personnel, equipment for drilling, completions, facilities and pipeline construction and maintenance;
- incorrect assessments of the value of acquisitions;
- geological, technical, drilling and process problems;
- general economic conditions in Canada, the United States and globally;
- industry conditions including fluctuations in the price of natural gas;
- royalties payable in respect of PET's production;
- governmental regulation of the oil and gas industry, including environmental regulation;
- fluctuation in foreign exchange or interest rates;
- unanticipated operating events that can reduce production or cause production to be shut-in or delayed;
- stock market volatility and market valuations; and
- the need to obtain required approvals from regulatory authorities.

The above list of risk factors is not exhaustive.

Additional information on PET, including the most recent filed annual report and annual information form, can be accessed from SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com) or from the Trust's website at [www.paramountenergy.com](http://www.paramountenergy.com).



## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

As at	September 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
(\$ thousands, unaudited)		
<b>Assets</b>		
Current assets		
Accounts receivable	\$ 51,490	\$ 43,446
Marketable securities (note 3)	1,210	-
Asset held for sale (note 4)	13,001	-
Financial instruments (notes 2 and 12)	29,509	21,355
	<b>95,210</b>	64,801
Property, plant and equipment (notes 4 and 5)	<b>1,134,093</b>	699,853
Goodwill	<b>29,129</b>	29,129
Other assets (note 3)	-	8,419
Financial instruments (notes 2 and 12)	<b>7,948</b>	3,562
	<b>\$ 1,266,380</b>	\$ 805,764
<b>Liabilities</b>		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 58,968	\$ 43,236
Distributions payable	10,847	17,037
Mortgage on asset held for sale (notes 4 and 7)	5,456	5,734
Bank debt (note 7)	-	222,923
	<b>75,271</b>	288,930
Long term bank debt (note 7)	<b>372,808</b>	-
Gas over bitumen royalty adjustments (note 15)	<b>56,408</b>	45,354
Asset retirement obligations (note 11)	<b>172,156</b>	109,437
Convertible debentures (note 8)	<b>223,306</b>	157,391
Non-controlling interest (note 6)	<b>2,172</b>	1,939
<b>Unitholders' equity</b>		
Unitholders' capital (note 9)	<b>1,077,034</b>	812,174
Equity component of convertible debentures (note 8)	<b>7,338</b>	4,527
Contributed surplus (note 10)	<b>10,260</b>	5,760
Deficit	<b>(730,373)</b>	(619,748)
	<b>364,259</b>	202,713
	<b>\$ 1,266,380</b>	\$ 805,764

See accompanying notes

Basis of presentation: note 1

Commitments and contingency: notes 12, 13, 14 and 15

Subsequent events: notes 4 and 16

## INTERIM CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS (LOSS) AND DEFICIT

	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30 2006	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
(\$ thousands except per unit amounts, unaudited)				
<b>Revenue</b>				
Natural gas	\$ 98,508	\$ 96,576	\$ 302,652	\$ 307,071
Royalties	(16,525)	(14,781)	(49,652)	(52,812)
Realized gain on financial instruments (notes 2 and 12)	2,109	8,149	36,010	9,609
Unrealized gain (loss) on financial instruments (notes 2 and 12)	33,573	13,970	(17,991)	29,909
Gas over bitumen revenue (note 15)	641	431	2,431	14,108
	<b>118,306</b>	104,345	<b>273,450</b>	307,885
<b>Expenses</b>				
Operating	26,312	19,860	73,418	62,031
Transportation costs	3,546	3,026	9,262	9,428
Exploration expenses	3,795	2,806	11,680	13,048
General and administrative (note 10)	6,357	3,890	21,224	13,203
Interest and other	6,544	3,189	15,271	8,700
Interest on convertible debentures	4,621	3,129	11,000	7,330
Depletion, depreciation and accretion	61,925	48,828	159,521	144,743
	<b>113,100</b>	84,728	<b>301,376</b>	258,483
<b>Earnings (loss) before income taxes</b>	<b>5,206</b>	19,617	<b>(27,926)</b>	49,402
Future income tax (note 14)	-	-	-	-
Current taxes	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-
<b>Net earnings (loss) before non-controlling interest</b>	<b>5,206</b>	19,617	<b>(27,926)</b>	49,402
Non-controlling interest (note 6)	40	2	37	2
<b>Net earnings (loss)</b>	<b>5,246</b>	19,619	<b>(27,889)</b>	49,404
<b>Deficit, beginning of period</b>	<b>(703,171)</b>	(469,562)	<b>(619,748)</b>	(379,109)
Change in accounting policy (note 2)	-	-	30,337	-
Distributions declared	(32,448)	(50,583)	(113,073)	(170,821)
<b>Deficit, end of period</b>	<b>(730,373)</b>	(500,526)	<b>(730,373)</b>	(500,526)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	-	-	-	-
<b>Deficit and accumulated other comprehensive income, end of period</b>	<b>\$ (730,373)</b>	\$ (500,526)	<b>\$ (730,373)</b>	\$ (500,526)
<b>Earnings per Trust Unit (note 9(c))</b>				
<b>Basic</b>	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.23	\$ (0.30)	\$ 0.59
<b>Diluted</b>	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.23	\$ (0.30)	\$ 0.59
<b>Distributions per Trust Unit</b>	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.60	\$ 1.20	\$ 2.04

See accompanying notes

## INTERIM CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Three Months Ended September 30		Nine Months Ended September 30 2006	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
(\$ thousands, unaudited)				
<b>Cash provided by (used for)</b>				
<b>Operating activities</b>				
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 5,246	\$ 19,619	\$ (27,889)	\$ 49,404
Items not involving cash				
Depletion, depreciation and accretion	61,925	48,828	159,521	144,743
Trust Unit-based compensation	602	533	6,101	1,585
Unrealized loss (gain) on financial instruments	(33,573)	(13,970)	17,991	(29,909)
Non-cash interest expense on convertible debentures	828	558	1,975	1,267
Loss on investment (note 3)	613	–	1,790	–
Non-controlling interest	(40)	(2)	(37)	(2)
Gas over bitumen royalty adjustments	3,051	3,330	11,054	14,276
Gas over bitumen revenue	–	–	–	(13,677)
Expenditures on asset retirement obligations	(618)	(170)	(2,283)	(2,623)
Change in non-cash working capital	13,673	(3,532)	16,432	6,824
Cash flow provided by operating activities	51,707	55,194	184,655	171,888
<b>Financing activities</b>				
Issue of Trust Units	2,529	2,340	247,394	11,200
Distributions to Unitholders	(27,717)	(42,390)	(97,233)	(154,174)
Issue of convertible debentures	–	–	72,000	95,631
Change in bank debt	(10,665)	966	149,885	54,399
Change in mortgage	(95)	(89)	(279)	(262)
Change in non-cash working capital	(1,146)	(1,253)	(3,660)	63
	(37,094)	(40,426)	368,107	6,857
	\$ 14,613	\$ 14,768	\$ 552,762	\$ 178,745
<b>Investing activities</b>				
Acquisition of properties and corporate assets	243	(1,954)	(456,134)	(94,229)
Exploration and development expenditures	(9,541)	(21,514)	(88,716)	(103,355)
Proceeds on sale of property and equipment	271	1,987	3,361	16,194
Change in non-cash working capital	(5,586)	6,713	(11,273)	2,645
	\$ (14,613)	\$ (14,768)	\$ (552,762)	\$ (178,745)
Change in cash	–	–	–	–
Cash, beginning of period	–	–	–	–
Cash, end of period	\$ –	\$ –	\$ –	\$ –
Interest paid	\$ 6,513	\$ 3,414	\$ 19,258	\$ 11,299
Taxes paid	–	–	–	\$ 125

See accompanying notes

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollar amounts in \$ thousands CDN except as noted)

### 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND ACCOUNTING POLICIES

These interim consolidated financial statements of Paramount Energy Trust ("PET" or "the Trust") have been prepared by management under the successful efforts method of accounting in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") following the same accounting principles and methods of computation as the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006 except as described in notes 1 a) and 2 below. Certain of the disclosures provided below are incremental to those included with the annual consolidated financial statements. The specific accounting principles used are described in the annual consolidated financial statements of the Trust appearing on pages 31 through 32 of the Trust's 2006 annual report and should be read in conjunction with these interim financial statements.

- a) **Marketable securities** Marketable securities consist of PET's investment in Cordero Energy Inc. (see note 3). The Trust accounts for its marketable securities as financial assets held for trading. As such, the securities are marked to fair value at each balance sheet date using quoted market prices. Changes in the fair value of securities are charged to earnings in the period in which the change occurs.

Two new Canadian accounting standards have been issued which will require additional disclosure in the Trust's financial statements commencing January 1, 2008 about the Trust's financial instruments as well as its capital and how it is managed.

### 2. CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING POLICY

On January 1, 2007, the PET adopted the new Canadian accounting standards for financial instruments. Prior periods have not been restated.

At January 1, 2007, the following adjustments were made to the balance sheet to adopt the new standards:

Changes to balance sheet accounts	At January 1, 2007
Financial instrument asset – current	\$ 25,768
Financial instrument asset – long term	4,764
Other assets	(5,419)
Increase in assets	\$ 25,113
Convertible debentures	\$ 5,224
Deficit	(30,337)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	–
Increase in liabilities	\$ (25,113)

- a) **Financial instruments** The Trust continues to utilize financial instruments and non-financial derivatives, such as fixed-price commodity sales contracts requiring physical delivery of the underlying commodity, to manage the price risk attributable to anticipated sale of petroleum and natural gas production.

The Trust accounts for its commodity sales contracts requiring physical delivery of the commodity as non-financial derivatives. Prior to adoption of the new standards, physical receipt and delivery contracts did not fall within the scope of the definition of a financial instrument. Accordingly, the fair values of these financial instruments as at January 1, 2007 were recorded as an asset on the Trust's balance sheet with an offsetting credit to deficit. Changes in fair value of these financial instruments from January 1, 2007 to September 30, 2007 as well as changes in fair values of financial forward natural gas and foreign exchange contracts between January 1, 2007 and September 30, 2007 are recorded in earnings. Financial forward natural gas and foreign exchange contracts have been accounted for as non-financial derivatives since January 1, 2006 and as such the changes in the fair value of these contracts have been recorded to earnings since that time.

- b) **Convertible debenture issue costs** Costs related to the issuance of the Trust's convertible debentures (see note 8) are netted against the carrying value of the convertible debentures and amortized into earnings over the life of the convertible debentures using the effective interest rate method. Prior to January 1, 2007, transaction costs were recorded as deferred charges in other assets and recognized in net earnings on a straight-line basis over the life of the convertible debentures. On adoption, issue costs are adjusted to reflect the application of the effective interest rate method since the date of issue of the related convertible debentures.

### 3. MARKETABLE SECURITIES AND OTHER ASSETS

At December 31, 2006 other assets were comprised of \$5.4 million in convertible debenture issue fees and an 11% interest in Sebring Energy Inc. ("Sebring"), a privately held oil and gas company. In 2007 the convertible debentures were netted against convertible debentures on the Trust's balance sheet in accordance with the change in accounting policy described in Note 2. PET acquired the interest in Sebring in January 2005 through the receipt of 4 million Sebring shares in exchange for certain oil and gas assets. On July 20, 2007 Sebring was acquired by Cordero Energy Inc. ("Cordero") a publicly traded oil and gas company on the basis of 1 share of Cordero for every 12.2 shares of Sebring held. PET has classified the resulting investment in Cordero as a short term marketable security and as such has marked-to-market the investment to a value of \$1.2 million at September 30, 2007. The decreases in market value of the investment of \$0.6 million and \$1.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 respectively have been included in interest and other expense on the statement of earnings.

#### 4. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

	September 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
Petroleum and natural gas properties	\$ 1,969,738	\$ 1,426,035
Asset retirement costs	156,818	99,059
Corporate assets	2,344	17,287
	<b>2,128,900</b>	1,542,381
Accumulated depletion and depreciation	<b>(994,807)</b>	(842,528)
	<b>\$ 1,134,093</b>	\$ 699,853

Property, plant and equipment costs at September 30, 2007 included \$147.3 million (December 31, 2006 - \$80.9 million) currently not subject to depletion.

PET has entered into an agreement to sell the office building it occupies in downtown Calgary for gross proceeds of \$35.75 million and is expected to close in early December. As a result, PET has reclassified the carrying cost of the building and associated leasehold improvements of \$13.0 million from property, plant and equipment to an asset held for sale. In addition, the corresponding mortgage of \$5.5 million has been reclassified as a mortgage on asset held for sale. Upon closing of the sale in December PET will record a gain of approximately \$23 million amounting to the difference between the carrying value and the purchase price of the asset held for sale.

#### 5. ACQUISITIONS

On June 26, 2007 PET closed the purchase of properties in east central Alberta via the acquisition of a private oil and gas company and concurrent sale of certain net assets of the acquiree to a third party (the "Birchwavy Acquisition") for cash consideration of \$391.8 million, plus \$23.7 million in respect of working capital and acquisition costs of \$3.8 million. The Birchwavy Acquisition was funded through the issuance of \$250.5 million in subscription receipts which were converted into Trust Units upon closing of the acquisition (see note 9), \$75.0 million in 6.5% convertible debentures (see note 8) and existing credit facilities. The acquisition was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. The following table summarizes the estimated fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition. The Trust has not yet completed its final evaluation of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed, and therefore the purchase price and the allocation of such to the acquired assets and liabilities are subject to change.

Property, plant and equipment	\$ 441,351
Cash and cash equivalents	23,041
Accounts receivable	26,531
Other assets	1,918
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(29,446)
Asset retirement obligation	(44,092)
Cash consideration paid	\$ 419,303

On April 30, 2007 the Trust closed the acquisition of producing petroleum and natural gas properties and assets in Northeast Alberta (the "Craigend/Radway/Stry Acquisition") for an aggregate purchase price of \$45.2 million. The acquisition was financed through existing credit facilities.

On February 16, 2006 PET acquired a private Alberta company for consideration of \$91.1 million in cash funded through the Trust's existing credit facility. The following table summarizes the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition.

Property, plant and equipment	\$ 94,004
Land	2,800
Working capital deficiency	(5,014)
Cash	551
Asset retirement obligation	(1,216)
Cash consideration paid	\$ 91,125

#### 6. NON-CONTROLLING INTEREST

In August of 2006 PET completed an internal restructuring whereby certain assets (the "Severo Assets") were transferred to Severo Energy Corporation ("Severo"), a private company, and a newly formed partnership, the Severo Energy Partnership ("Severo Partnership"). In addition, PET provided a \$10.5 million promissory note to Severo in exchange for additional common shares.

In consideration for the assets and the promissory note PET received 15,000,908 common shares of Severo priced at \$2.00 per share and a 1% partnership interest in Severo Partnership which has subsequently been transferred back to Severo. Concurrent with the transaction Severo completed a private placement at \$2.00 per share to employees and consultants for proceeds of \$2.0 million representing approximately 6% of the issued common shares of Severo. At the conclusion of the transaction PET owned approximately 94% of Severo.

PET has nominated two representatives of the three person Board of Directors of Severo. Since the Trust has retained effective control of Severo, the results, assets and liabilities of this entity have been included in these financial statements. The non-PET ownership interests of Severo are shown as non-controlling interest.

## **7. BANK AND OTHER DEBT**

At September 30, 2007 PET had a revolving credit facility with a syndicate of Canadian Chartered Banks (the "Credit Facility") with a borrowing base of \$420 million consisting of a demand loan of \$410 million and a working capital facility of \$10 million. Concurrent with the closing of the Birchwavy Acquisition on June 26, 2007 (see note 5), the borrowing base was increased to \$430 million effective June 26, 2007. The borrowing base was reduced by \$10 million on July 1, 2007, and by an additional \$20 million on October 1, 2007. The Trust had requested that the borrowing base be increased to \$420 million with a reduction to \$400 million on the earlier of December 31, 2007 and the closing of the real estate disposition transaction described in note 4. The majority of the Trust's lenders have approved this request and the Trust expects full approval of the request in the near future. The Trust's lenders have also completed their semi-annual borrowing base redetermination. The review of the Trust's natural gas reserves is expected to result in a reconfirmation of the Trust's borrowing base at \$400 million through May 26, 2008. Upon expiry of the revolving feature of the facility, should it not be extended, amounts outstanding as of the expiry date will have a term to maturity date of one additional year. In addition to amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility, PET has outstanding letters of credit in the amount of \$3.87 million. Collateral for the Credit Facility is provided by a floating-charge debenture covering all existing and acquired property of the Trust as well as unconditional full liability guarantees from all subsidiaries in respect of amounts borrowed under the Credit Facility.

Advances under the Credit Facility are made in the form of Banker's Acceptances ("BA"), prime rate loans or letters of credit. In the case of BA advances, interest is a function of the BA rate plus a stamping fee based on the Trust's current ratio of debt to cash flow. In the case of prime rate loans, interest is charged at the lenders' prime rate. The effective interest rate on outstanding amounts at September 30, 2007 was 6.09%.

At September 30, 2007 the Trust had an outstanding mortgage of \$5.5 million (\$5.7 million at December 31, 2006) related to its principal office space. The mortgage bears interest at 6.15% and matures on January 1, 2008 (see note 4).

## **8. CONVERTIBLE DEBENTURES**

In accordance with Canadian accounting standards, the Trust's convertible debentures are classified as debt with a portion of the proceeds allocated to equity representing the value of the conversion feature. As the debentures are converted, a portion of debt and equity amounts are transferred to Unitholders' capital. The debt balance associated with the convertible debentures accretes over time to the amount owing on maturity and such increases in the debt balance are reflected as non-cash interest expense in the statement of earnings. The convertible debentures are carried net of issue costs on the balance sheet. The issue costs are amortized to earnings using the effective interest rate method.

The Trust's 6.5% convertible unsecured subordinated debentures issued on June 20, 2007 ("6.5% Convertible Debentures") mature on June 30, 2012, bear interest at 6.5% per annum paid semi-annually on June 30 and December 31 of each year and are subordinated to substantially all other liabilities of PET including the Credit Facility. The 6.5% Convertible Debentures are convertible at the option of the holder into Trust Units at any time prior to the maturity date at a conversion price of \$14.20 per Trust Unit.

The Trust's 6.25% convertible unsecured subordinated debentures issued on April 6, 2006 ("2006 6.25% Convertible Debentures") mature on April 30, 2011, bear interest at 6.25% per annum paid semi-annually on April 30 and October 31 of each year and are subordinated to substantially all other liabilities of PET including the Credit Facility. The 2006 6.25% Convertible Debentures are convertible at the option of the holder into Trust Units at any time prior to the maturity date at a conversion price of \$23.80 per Trust Unit.

The Trust's 6.25% convertible unsecured subordinated debentures issued on April 26, 2005 ("2005 6.25% Convertible Debentures") mature on June 30, 2010, bear interest at 6.25% per annum paid semi-annually on June 30 and December 31 of each year and are subordinated to substantially all other liabilities of PET including the Credit Facility. The 2005 6.25% Convertible Debentures are convertible at the option of the holder into Trust Units at any time prior to the maturity date at a conversion price of \$19.35 per Trust Unit.

The Trust's 8% convertible unsecured subordinated debentures ("8% Convertible Debentures") mature on September 30, 2009, bear interest at 8.0% per annum paid semi-annually on March 31 and September 30 of each year and are subordinated to substantially all other liabilities of PET including the Credit Facility. The 8% Convertible Debentures are convertible at the option of the holder into Trust Units at any time prior to the maturity date at a conversion price of \$14.20 per Trust Unit.

At the option of PET, the repayment of the principal amount of the convertible debentures may be settled in Trust Units. The number of Trust Units to be issued upon redemption by PET will be calculated by dividing the principal by 95% of the weighted average trading price for ten trading days prior to the date of redemption. The interest payable may also be settled with the issuance of sufficient Trust Units to satisfy the interest obligation.

At September 30, 2007, the Trust had \$5.9 million in 8% Convertible Debentures outstanding with a fair market value of \$5.9 million, \$55.3 million in 2005 6.25% Convertible Debentures outstanding with a fair market value of \$52.5 million, \$100.0 million in 2006 6.25% Convertible Debentures outstanding with a fair market value of \$95.9 million, and \$75 million in 6.5% Convertible Debentures outstanding with a fair market value of \$67.5 million.

	8% Series	2005 6.25% Series	2006 6.25% Series	6.5% Series	Total Amount
Balance, December 31, 2005	7,354	57,534	–	–	64,888
April 6, 2006 Issuance	–	–	100,000	–	100,000
Portion allocated to equity	–	–	(4,059)	–	(4,059)
Accretion of non-cash interest expense	–	117	549	–	666
Converted into Trust Units	(1,488)	(2,613)	(3)	–	(4,104)
Balance, December 31, 2006	\$ 5,866	\$ 55,038	\$ 96,487	–	\$ 157,391
June 20, 2007 Issuance	–	–	–	75,000	75,000
Issue costs associated with issuance	–	–	–	(3,000)	(3,000)
Portion allocated to equity	–	–	–	(2,812)	(2,812)
Change in accounting policy (see note 2)	(112)	(1,436)	(3,676)	–	(5,224)
Accretion of non-cash interest expense	–	87	548	166	801
Amortization of debenture issue fees	35	324	646	169	1,174
Converted into Trust Units	–	–	(24)	–	(24)
<b>Balance, September 30, 2007</b>	<b>\$ 5,789</b>	<b>\$ 54,013</b>	<b>\$ 93,981</b>	<b>\$ 69,523</b>	<b>\$ 223,306</b>

A reconciliation of the equity component of convertible debentures is provided below:

Balance, as at December 31, 2005	\$ 490
Conversion of Trust Units	(22)
Equity component of 2006 6.25% Convertible Debentures	4,059
Balance, as at December 31, 2006	\$ 4,527
Conversion of Trust Units	(1)
Equity component of 6.5% Convertible Debentures	2,812
<b>Balance, as at September 30, 2007</b>	<b>\$ 7,338</b>

## 9. UNITHOLDERS' CAPITAL

- a) **Authorized** Authorized capital consists of an unlimited number of Trust Units and an unlimited number of Special Voting Units. No Special Voting Units have been issued to date.
- b) **Issued and Outstanding** The following is a summary of changes in Unitholders' capital:

Trust Units	Number of Units	Amount
Balance, December 31, 2005	82,481,844	\$ 769,210
Units issued pursuant to Unit Incentive Plan	299,875	2,211
Units issued pursuant to Bonus Rights Plan	24,615	488
Units issued pursuant to Distribution Reinvestment Plan	2,138,606	36,273
Units issued pursuant to conversion of debentures	241,071	4,126
Issue costs on convertible debentures converted to Trust Units	-	(134)
Balance, December 31, 2006	85,186,011	812,174
Units issued pursuant to Unit offering	20,450,000	250,513
Units issued pursuant to Unit Incentive Plan	244,500	1,665
Units issued pursuant to Bonus Rights Plan	981	12
Units issued pursuant to Distribution Reinvestment Plan	2,590,743	25,704
Units issued pursuant to conversion of debentures	1,050	25
Issue costs on convertible debentures converted to Trust Units	-	(1)
Trust Unit issue costs	-	(13,058)
<b>Balance, September 30, 2007</b>	<b>108,473,285</b>	<b>\$ 1,077,034</b>

c) **Per Unit Information** Basic earnings per Trust Unit are calculated using the weighted average number of Trust Units outstanding during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 of 108,007,596 and 94,431,332 (2006 – 84,197,753 and 83,647,938 respectively). PET uses the treasury stock method for incentive and bonus rights in instances where market price exceeds exercise price thereby impacting the diluted calculations. In computing diluted earnings per Trust Unit for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, 222,676 and 318,755 Trust Units respectively were added to the basic weighted average number of Trust Units outstanding (2006 – 573,417 and 626,649 net Trust Units respectively) for the dilutive effect of incentive rights and convertible debentures. In computing diluted earnings (loss) per Trust Unit for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007, 3,597,000 and 3,407,750 incentive rights, as well as 12,751,675 and 12,751,675 respectively, potentially issuable Trust Units through the Convertible Debentures (see note 8) were excluded as the exercise and conversion prices were out of the money at September 30, 2007 (three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 – 347,500 and 215,000 incentive rights, 7,058,063 and 4,201,681 potentially issuable Trust Units through the Convertible Debentures).

d) **Redemption Right** Unitholders may redeem their Trust Units at any time by delivering their Trust Unit certificates to the Trustee of PET. Unitholders have no rights with respect to the Trust Units tendered for redemption other than a right to receive the redemption amount. The redemption amount per Trust Unit will be the lesser of 90 percent of the weighted average trading price of the Trust Units on the principal market on which they are traded for the ten day period after the Trust Units have been validly tendered for redemption and the “closing market price” of the Trust Units.

In the event that the aggregate redemption value of Trust Units tendered for redemption in a calendar month exceeds \$100,000 and PET does not exercise its discretion to waive the \$100,000 limit on monthly redemptions, PET will not use cash to pay the redemption amount for any of the Trust Units tendered for redemption in that month. Instead, PET will pay the redemption amount for those Trust Units, subject to compliance with applicable laws including securities laws of all jurisdictions and the receipt of all applicable regulatory approvals, by the issuance of promissory notes of PET (“Notes”) to the tendering Unitholders.

The Notes delivered as set out above will be unsecured and bear interest at a market rate of interest to be determined at the time of issuance by the Board of Directors based on the advice of an independent financial advisor. The interest will be payable monthly. The Notes will be subordinated and, in certain circumstances, postponed to all of PET’s indebtedness. Subject to prepayment, the Notes will be due and payable five years after issuance.

## 10. INCENTIVE PLANS

a) **Unit Incentive Plan** PET has adopted a Unit Incentive Plan (“Unit Incentive Plan”) which permits the Administrator’s Board of Directors to grant non-transferable rights to purchase Trust Units (“Incentive Rights”) to its and affiliated entities’ employees, officers, directors and other direct and indirect service providers. The purpose of the Unit Incentive Plan is to provide an effective long-term incentive to eligible participants and to reward them on the basis of PET’s long-term performance and distributions. The Administrator’s Board of Directors will administer the Unit Incentive Plan and determine participants, numbers of Incentive Rights and terms of vesting. The grant price of the Incentive Rights (“Grant Price”) shall equal the per Trust Unit closing price on the trading date immediately preceding the date of the grant, unless otherwise permitted.

Prior to June 30, 2007, the exercise price of the Incentive Rights (“Exercise Price”) was, subject to certain limitations, reduced by deducting from the Grant Price the aggregate amounts of all distributions on a per Trust Unit basis that PET pays its Unitholders after the date of grant which represented a return of more than 2.5 percent per quarter on PET’s consolidated net property, plant and equipment on its balance sheet at each calendar quarter end (“Base Return”). The Exercise Price will be adjusted on a quarterly basis and in no case may it be reduced to less than \$0.001 per Trust Unit. Effective June 30, 2007, the Base Return was reduced to nil in the formula for calculating Exercise Price reductions. As a result of the change the Trust recorded Trust Unit incentive expense of \$3.6 million related to the increase in the amount of the quarterly reduction to the exercise prices at June 30, 2007. The Incentive Rights are only dilutive to the calculation of earnings per Trust Unit if the exercise price is below the market price of the Trust Units. During the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007 the Trust recorded \$0.6 million and \$6.1 million respectively in Trust Unit compensation (\$0.5 million and \$1.6 million for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2006).

At September 30, 2007 PET had 4.3 million Unit Incentive and Bonus Rights issued and outstanding relative to the 10.8 million (10 percent) of total Trust Units outstanding reserved under the Unit Incentive and the Bonus Rights Plans (see note 10 (b)). As at September 30, 2007, 285,750 Incentive Rights granted under the Unit Incentive Plan had vested but were unexercised (153,531 as of September 30, 2006).

PET used the binomial lattice option pricing model to calculate the estimated fair value of the outstanding Incentive Rights issued on or after January 1, 2003. The following assumptions were used to arrive at the estimate of fair value as at the date of grant:

	2007	Year of grant 2006
Distribution yield (%)	<b>0.0 – 6.3</b>	3.1 – 5.0
Expected volatility (%)	<b>28.5 – 29.0</b>	21.5 – 24.5
Risk-free interest rate (%)	<b>4.24 – 4.59</b>	3.79 – 4.40
Expected life of Incentive Rights (years)	<b>3.75 – 4.5</b>	3.75 – 4.5
Vesting period of Incentive Rights (years)	<b>4.0</b>	4.0
Contractual life of Incentive Rights (years)	<b>5.0</b>	5.0
Weighted average fair value per Incentive Right on the grant date	<b>\$ 2.29</b>	\$ 2.43



Incentive Rights	Average exercise price	Incentive Rights
Balance, December 31, 2005	\$ 10.79	1,648,125
Granted	18.24	2,493,675
Exercised	1.13	(299,875)
Forfeited	12.79	(197,250)
Balance, December 31, 2006	14.60	3,644,675
Granted	10.21	1,066,875
Exercised	0.31	(244,500)
Forfeited	13.22	(263,675)
<b>Balance, September 30, 2007</b>	<b>\$ 14.40</b>	<b>4,203,375</b>

The following summarizes information about Incentive Rights outstanding at September 30, 2007 assuming the reduced exercise price described above:

Range of exercise prices	Number outstanding at September 30, 2007	Weighted average contractual life (years)	Weighted average exercise price/ Incentive Right	Number exercisable at September 30, 2007	Weighted average exercise price/ Incentive Right
\$5.19	50,000	1.1	\$ 5.19	37,500	\$ 5.19
\$5.88 - \$11.57	1,330,250	3.4	9.38	51,250	6.90
\$11.91 - \$15.98	2,064,000	3.0	13.31	85,250	13.54
\$16.05 - \$20.24	759,125	3.5	17.35	111,750	17.90
Total	4,203,375	3.2	\$ 14.40	285,750	\$ 12.96

A reconciliation of contributed surplus is provided below:

Balance, as at December 31, 2005	\$ 4,052
Bonus Rights adjustment	592
Trust Unit-based compensation expense	3,337
Transfer to Unitholders' capital on exercise of Incentive Rights	(2,221)
Balance, as at December 31, 2006	5,760
Trust Unit-based compensation expense	6,101
Transfer to Unitholders' capital on exercise of Incentive Rights	(1,590)
Transfer to Unitholders' capital on exercise of Bonus Rights	(11)
<b>Balance, as at September 30, 2007</b>	<b>\$ 10,260</b>

b) **Bonus Rights Plan** PET has implemented a bonus rights plan ("Bonus Rights Plan") for certain officers, employees and direct and indirect service providers of the Administrator ("Service Providers"). Rights to purchase Trust Units ("Bonus Rights") granted under the Bonus Rights Plan may be exercised during a period (the "Exercise Period") not exceeding three years from the date upon which the Bonus Rights were granted. The Bonus Rights vest over two years. At the expiration of the Exercise Period, any Bonus Rights which have not been exercised shall expire and become null and void. Upon vesting, the plan participant is entitled to receive the vested units plus an additional number of Trust Units equal to the value of distributions on PET's Trust Units as if the Trust Units were invested in PET's Distribution Reinvestment and Optional Trust Unit Purchase Plan ("DRIP Plan") accrued since the grant date.

For the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007, nil and \$0.5 million in compensation expense was recorded in respect of the Bonus Rights granted (three and nine month period ended September 30, 2006 - \$0.2 million).

The following table shows changes in the Bonus Rights outstanding under the Bonus Rights Plan since inception:

	Bonus Rights
Balance, December 31, 2005	26,709
Granted	34,647
Exercised	(24,615)
Forfeited	(5,338)
Additional grants for accrued distributions	6,402
Balance, December 31, 2006	37,805
Granted	45,668
Exercised	981
Forfeited	(508)
Additional grants for accrued distributions	5,023
<b>Balance, September 30, 2007</b>	<b>88,969</b>

## 11. ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

The total future asset retirement obligation was estimated based on PET's net ownership interest in all wells and facilities, estimated costs to reclaim and abandon these wells and facilities and the estimated timing of the costs to be incurred in future periods. PET has estimated the net present value of its total asset retirement obligations to be \$172.2 million as at September 30, 2007 based on an undiscounted total future liability of \$306.2 million. These payments are expected to be made over the next 25 years with the majority of costs incurred between 2015 and 2020. PET used a credit adjusted risk free rate of 7.1% to calculate the present value of the asset retirement obligation.

The following table reconciles the Trust's asset retirement obligations:

	September 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
Obligation, beginning of year	\$ 109,437	\$ 94,276
Obligations incurred	4,102	9,856
Obligations acquired	53,658	1,213
Expenditures for obligations during the period	(2,283)	(3,095)
Accretion expense	7,242	7,187
	<b>\$ 172,156</b>	<b>\$ 109,437</b>

## 12. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

As disclosed in note 2, on January 1, 2007 the fair value of all outstanding forward physical natural gas contracts was recorded as an asset on the consolidated balance sheet with a corresponding credit to retained earnings. Subsequent changes in fair value after January 1, 2007 on these financial instruments as well as all other forward financial natural gas contracts and forward foreign exchange contracts are recorded on the consolidated balance sheet with the associated unrealized gain or loss recognized in net earnings. The estimated fair value of all financial instruments is based on quoted prices or, in their absence, third party market indications and forecasts.

	September 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
Financial instrument asset – current <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 29,509	\$ 21,355
Financial instrument asset – long term <sup>(2)</sup>	7,948	3,562
Net financial instrument asset	<b>\$ 37,457</b>	<b>\$ 24,917</b>

(1) Financial instruments which will settle prior to October 1, 2008.

(2) Financial instruments which will settle after September 30, 2008.

Realized gains on financial instruments, including financial natural gas commodity contracts and foreign exchange price contracts, recognized in net earnings for three month and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007 were \$2.1 million and \$36.0 million respectively (realized gains of \$8.1 million and \$9.6 million were recorded for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2006). Gains and losses recorded on forward physical natural gas contracts are not included in realized gains on financial instruments, but instead are included with natural gas revenues.

### Natural gas commodity contracts

At September 30, 2007 the Trust had entered into financial and forward physical gas sales arrangements at AECO as follows:

Type of contract	PET sold/bought	Volumes at AECO (GJ/d)	Price (\$/GJ)	Term
Financial	sold	107,500	\$6.141	October 2007
Financial	bought	(20,000)	\$7.376	October 2007
Physical	sold	20,000	\$6.718	October 2007
Physical	bought	(7,500)	\$7.663	October 2007
Financial	sold	85,000	\$7.295	November 2007 – March 2008
Financial	bought	(7,500)	\$7.290	November 2007 – March 2008
Physical	sold	15,000	\$7.759	November 2007 – March 2008
Physical	bought	(4,500)	\$8.137	November 2007 – March 2008
Financial	sold	7,500	\$7.460	January 2008 – December 2008
Physical	sold	2,500	\$7.450	January 2008 – December 2008
Financial	sold	55,000	\$7.396	April 2008 – October 2008
Financial	sold	57,500	\$7.956	November 2008 – March 2009
Physical	sold	5,000	\$8.355	November 2008 – March 2009

At September 30, 2007 the Trust had entered into financial and forward physical gas sales arrangements at NYMEX as follows:

Type of contract	PET sold/bought	Volumes at NYMEX (MMBTU/d)	Price (US\$/MMBTU)	Term
Financial	sold	37,500	\$6.097	October 2007
Financial	bought	(37,500)	\$5.927	October 2007
Financial	sold	17,500	\$7.525	November 2007 – March 2008
Financial	bought	(7,500)	\$7.978	November 2007 – March 2008
Financial	sold	10,000	\$7.700	April – October 2008
Physical	sold	5,000	\$6.683	April – October 2008
Financial	sold	2,500	\$9.420	November 2008 – March 2009
Financial	bought	(2,500)	\$9.260	November 2008 – March 2009

At September 30, 2007 the Trust had entered into financial and forward physical gas sales arrangements to fix the basis differential between the NYMEX and AECO trading hubs as follows. The price at which these contracts settle is equal to the NYMEX index less a fixed basis amount.

Type of contract	PET sold/bought	Volumes at NYMEX (MMBTU/d)	Price (US\$/MMBTU)	Term
Financial – basis	sold	5,000	\$(1.243)	October 2007
Physical – basis	sold	34,400	\$(1.222)	October 2007
Physical – basis	bought	(20,000)	\$(1.331)	October 2007
Physical – basis	sold	2,500	\$(1.230)	November 2007 – March 2008
Financial – basis	sold	5,000	\$(0.975)	April – October 2008
Physical – basis	sold	37,500	\$(0.969)	April – October 2008

At September 30, 2007 an unrealized gain of \$33.3 million and an unrealized loss of \$18.5 million were recorded in the consolidated statement of earnings related to the change in fair value of financial and physical forward sales contracts from June 30, 2007 to September 30, 2007 and from January 1, 2007 to September 30, 2007, respectively.

#### Foreign exchange price contracts

PET has entered into financial contracts to sell forward Canadian dollars for US dollars at a fixed exchange rate in order to mitigate the effect of exchange rate fluctuations on the Trust's realized natural gas price. Foreign exchange contracts outstanding as at September 30, 2007 are as follows:

Type of contract	PET sold/bought	CDN\$ (monthly)	Fixed FX rate (CDN\$/US\$)	Term
Financial	sold	\$ 2,120,600	1.0603	November 2007 – March 2008
Financial	bought	\$ (2,064,000)	1.0320	November 2007 – March 2008

At September 30, 2007 unrealized gains of \$0.3 million and \$0.5 million were recorded in the consolidated statement of earnings related to the change in fair value of financial and physical forward sales contracts from June 30, 2007 to September 30, 2007 and from January 1, 2007 to September 30, 2007, respectively.

### 13. OPERATING LEASES

As of September 30, 2007, the future minimum payments under office lease costs and related sublease recoveries under contractual agreements consisted of:

	Operating Leases	Sublease Income
2007	\$ 690	\$ (181)
2008	2,874	(761)
2009	2,912	(773)
2010	2,912	(773)
2011	728	(193)
Total commitment	\$ 10,116	\$ (2,681)

### 14. FUTURE INCOME TAXES

On June 12, 2007, Bill C-52 Budget Implementation Act, 2007 was substantively enacted by the Canadian federal government, which contains legislation to change the tax treatment of publicly traded trusts in Canada. As a result, a new 31.5 per cent tax will be applied to distributions from Canadian public income trusts effective January 1, 2011. PET has not recorded a future income tax liability at September 30, 2007, as temporary differences between book values and tax values of the Trust's assets are scheduled to reverse prior to the tax being implemented on January 1, 2011.

## **15. GAS OVER BITUMEN ROYALTY ADJUSTMENTS**

On October 4, 2004 the Government of Alberta enacted amendments to the royalty regulation with respect to natural gas which provide a mechanism whereby the Government may prescribe a reduction in the royalty calculated through the Crown royalty system for operators of gas wells which have been denied the right to produce by the Alberta Energy and Utilities Board (the "AEUB") as a result of recent bitumen conservation decisions. Such royalty reduction was initially prescribed in December 2004, retroactive to the date of shut-in of the gas production.

If production recommences from zones previously ordered to be shut-in, gas producers may pay an incremental royalty to the Crown on production from the reinstated pools, along with Alberta Gas Crown Royalties otherwise payable. The incremental royalty will apply only to the pool or pools reinstated to production and will be established at one percent after the first year of shut-in increasing at one percent per annum based on the period of time such zones remained shut-in to a maximum of ten percent. The incremental royalties payable to the Crown would be limited to amounts recovered by a gas well operator through the reduced royalty.

At September 30, 2007 PET had recorded \$73.8 million (\$60.3 million at December 31, 2006) for cumulative gas over bitumen royalty adjustments received to that date. Of this amount, \$17.4 million has been recorded as revenue and \$56.4 million has been recorded on the Trust's balance sheet.

In 2006 PET disposed of certain shut-in gas wells in the gas over bitumen area. As part of the disposition agreement, the Trust continues to receive the gas over bitumen royalty adjustments related to the sold wells, although the ownership of the natural gas reserves is transferred to the buyer. As such, any overriding royalty payable to the Crown when gas production recommences from the affected wells is no longer PET's responsibility. As a result of this disposition, the gas over bitumen royalty adjustments received by the Trust for the affected wells are now considered revenue since they will not be repaid to the Crown.

## **16. GOVERNMENT OF ALBERTA ROYALTY ANNOUNCEMENT**

On October 25, 2007, the Government of Alberta announced a "New Royalty Framework" for oil and natural gas royalties in the Province of Alberta. If enacted, the changes to the royalty rates would become effective on January 1, 2009. Given the methodology used in the proposed royalty regime, the effects on earnings and cash flow from operating activities will be determined by well depths, commodity prices and productivity of wells. As such, the actual effect of the Alberta royalty rate changes on PET will be determined based on the actual legislation enacted in combination with the Trust's production rates, commodity prices and product mix after January 1, 2009.

500, 630 – 4TH AVENUE SW  
CALGARY, ALBERTA CANADA  
T2P 0J9

PHONE 403 269.4400  
FAX 403 269.4444

E-MAIL [INFO@PARAMOUNTENERGY.COM](mailto:INFO@PARAMOUNTENERGY.COM)

STOCK EXCHANGE LISTING | TSX  
TRUST UNITS | PMT.UN  
CONVERTIBLE DEBENTURES | PMT.DB  
PMT.DB.A  
PMT.DB.B

## PARAMOUNT ENERGY TRUST

[WWW.PARAMOUNTENERGY.COM](http://WWW.PARAMOUNTENERGY.COM)

### MANAGEMENT

**CLAYTON H. RIDDELL**  
EXECUTIVE CHAIRMAN

**SUSAN L. RIDDELL ROSE**  
PRESIDENT, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

**GARY C. JACKSON**  
VICE PRESIDENT, LAND, LEGAL AND ACQUISITIONS

**KEVIN J. MARJORAM**  
VICE PRESIDENT, ENGINEERING AND OPERATIONS

**MARCELLO M. RAPINI**  
VICE PRESIDENT, MARKETING

**CAMERON R. SEBASTIAN**  
VICE PRESIDENT, FINANCE AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

**J. C. STRONG**  
ACTING CORPORATE SECRETARY, CORPORATE COUNSEL

### DIRECTORS

**CLAYTON H. RIDDELL**  
EXECUTIVE CHAIRMAN,  
PARAMOUNT ENERGY OPERATING CORP.  
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, PARAMOUNT RESOURCES LTD.

**SUSAN L. RIDDELL ROSE** <sup>(4)</sup>  
PRESIDENT AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER,  
PARAMOUNT ENERGY OPERATING CORP.

**KAREN A. GENOWAY** <sup>(2)(3)(5)</sup>  
VICE PRESIDENT, LAND, ONYX OIL & GAS LTD.

**RANDALL E. (RANDY) JOHNSON** <sup>(1)(3)(5)</sup>  
INDEPENDENT BUSINESSMAN

**DONALD J. NELSON** <sup>(1)(2)(4)</sup>  
PRESIDENT, FAIRWAY RESOURCES INC.

**JOHN W. PELTIER** <sup>(1)(2)(4)</sup>  
PRESIDENT, IPPERWASH RESOURCES LTD.

**HOWARD R. WARD** <sup>(3)(4)(5)</sup>  
PARTNER, INTERNATIONAL ENERGY COUNSEL LLP

- (1) Member of Audit Committee
- (2) Member of Reserves Committee
- (3) Member of Corporate Governance Committee
- (4) Member of Environmental, Health and Safety Committee
- (5) Member of Compensation Committee

### INVESTOR RELATIONS

**SUSAN M. SHOWERS**  
COMMUNICATIONS AND INVESTOR RELATIONS ADVISOR

### AUDITORS

KPMG LLP

### BANKERS

Bank of Montreal

Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce

The Bank of Nova Scotia

The Toronto-Dominion Bank

National Bank of Canada

ATB Financial

### RESERVE EVALUATION CONSULTANTS

McDaniel & Associates Consultants Ltd.

### TRUSTEE REGISTRAR AND TRANSFER AGENT

Computershare Trust Company of Canada

TRUST UNITS | PMT.UN  
CONVERTIBLE DEBENTURES | PMT.DB  
PMT.DB.A  
PMT.DB.B  
PMT.DB.C

This report contains forward-looking information with respect to Paramount Energy Trust (PET). This forward looking information is based on certain assumptions that involve a number of risks and uncertainties and are not guarantees of future performance. Actual results could differ materially as a result of changes in PET's plans, changes in commodity prices, general economic, market and business conditions as well as production, development and operating performance, regulations and other risks associated with oil and gas operations.